

REVISION 2
08/15/84

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been reinspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleged also stated that he no longer had any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also reviewed the two NCRs addressing this subject and found no questions or concerns.

The NRC staff is satisfied, based on the review of the NCRs, that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References:

1. EBASCO NCR W3-5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR W3-6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By:

S. B. Kim

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

8510040366 840815
PDR ADOCK 05000382
E PDR

A-177

- 2 -

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:
SSER A-177

Requestor's ID:
JOHNNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

Final

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleged also stated that he no longer had any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also reviewed the two NCRs addressing this subject and found no questions or concerns.

The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied, based on the review of the NCRs, that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. EBASCO NCR W3-5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR W3-6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By:

S. B. Kim

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:
SSER A-177

FILE

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

Re type Do not Reroute

FINAL ~~SSER~~ BOOTING A-177

Revision :	0	1	2	3
Denny Crutchfield	<i>[Signature]</i>			
Jim Gagliardo	<i>[Signature]</i>			

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The allexer also stated that he no longer had any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also reviewed the two NCRs addressing this subject and found no questions or concerns.

~~The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.~~

The NRC staff is satisfied, based on the review of the NCRs, that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. EBASCO NCR W3-5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR W3-6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By:

S. B. Kim

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The allogger also stated that he no longer had any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also reviewed the two NCRs addressing this subject and found no questions or concerns.

The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied, based on the review of the NCRs, that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. EBASCO NCR W3-5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR W3-6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By:

S. B. Kim

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:
SSER A-177

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

FINAL

Document Name:
SSER A-177 *file*

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

6/26 OK Final
Do not re route

OI

Single
Space

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleged also stated that he no longer has any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also ~~had no questions as a result of a review of~~ the two NCRs addressing this subject, and ~~found no questions or concerns.~~

The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied, ^{based on the} ~~from its~~ review of the NCRs, that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by EBASCO QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by OI. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. EBASCO NCR W3-5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR W3-6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By: _____
S. B. Kim Date

Reviewed By: _____
Team Leader Date

Reviewed By: _____
Site Team Leader(s) Date

Approved By: _____
Task Management Date

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The alleged also stated that he no longer has any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCRs addressing this subject.

The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its review of the NCRs that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by EBASCO QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by OI. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

[Potential Violations: None.]

Actions Required: None.

References

1. EBASCO NCR W3-5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR W3-6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By:

S. B. Kim

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:
SSER A-177

Requestor's ID:
PATTYN

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

SSER

Task: Allegation A-177

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/72

Characterization: It is alleged that an EBASCO quality assurance (QA) inspector did not exercise proper judgment and may not be doing his job honestly while dispositioning nonconformance reports (NCRs) concerning American Bridge Company steel construction work.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegation has no safety significance since all American Bridge Company (AB) work had been re-inspected after the allegation was made, resulting in discrepancy notices (DNs), two NCRs, and appropriate corrective measures for the work in question. The allegor also stated that he no longer has any concern over AB work. The NRC staff also had no questions as a result of a review of the two NCRs addressing this subject.

The integrity of the EBASCO inspector's work is being addressed by the NRC Office of Investigations (OI), which is currently investigating this matter.

The NRC staff is satisfied from its review of the NCRs that the safety issues related to AB have been properly addressed. The possible generic implications for other technical matters resolved by EBASCO QA personnel whose integrity is in question is contingent on the results of investigations being performed by OI. Further technical evaluations may be necessary depending on the outcome of these investigations.

[Potential Violations: None.]

Actions Required: None.

References

1. EBASCO NCR ^{W3-}5594 (see Allegation A-136)
2. EBASCO NCR ^{W3-}6263 (see Allegation A-136)

Statement Prepared By:

S. B. Kim

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:

SSER A-177

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments: