

APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT
TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-3
FOR
DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION
UNIT NO. 1

Enclosed are forty-three (43) copies of the requested changes to the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1 Facility Operating License No. NPF-3, together with the Safety Evaluation for the requested change.

The proposed changes include Section 6.5.2.2 and 6.5.2.3.

By /s/ Terry D. Murray
Terry D. Murray, Assistant
Vice President, Nuclear

For Joe Williams, Jr.
Senior Vice President, Nuclear

Sworn and subscribed before me this 5th day of December, 1985.

/s/ Laurie A. Hinkle, nee (Brudzinski)
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires May 16, 1986

S E A L

8512100142 851203
PDR ADOCK 05000346
P PDR

Docket No. 50-346
License No. NPF-3
Serial No. 1214

Attachment

I. Changes to Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1, Appendix A
Technical Specifications Sections 6.5.2.2 and 6.5.2.3.

A. Time Required to Implement. This change is to be effective
upon NRC approval.

B. Reason for Change (Facility Change Request 85-0281).

To delineate the CNRB membership qualification and delete the
membership list from the Technical Specifications. Also, under
the "Alternate" section, the phrase "to serve on a temporary
basis" is deleted.

C. Safety Evaluation
(See Attached)

D. Significant Hazard Consideration
(See Attached)

Safety Evaluation

This License Amendment Request proposes revising Technical Specifications 6.5.2.2 "Composition of the Company Nuclear Review Board (CNRB)" and 6.5.2.3 "Alternates" to that Board.

The safety function of the CNRB is to perform:

- A. Reviews per Section 6.5.2.7 of:
 - 1. Safety Evaluations.
 - 2. Unreviewed Safety Questions on procedures, equipment, systems, tests or experiments.
 - 3. Changes to Facility Operating License.
 - 4. Violations having nuclear safety significance.
 - 5. Abnormalities or deviations that affect nuclear safety.
 - 6. Reportable events.
 - 7. Unanticipated deficiencies in safety-related structures, systems or components.
 - 8. SRB Minutes.
- B. Audits of facility activity per Section 6.5.2.8 of the Technical Specifications.

The safety function of Technical Specification 6.5.2.2 is to assure that the CNRB has adequate and qualified membership to adequately perform its safety function as described above. The proposed change achieves this by delineating the educational and technical experience required for membership to the CNRB. A Bachelor's degree in an engineering or physical science field or equivalent experience and a specified minimum level of experience in the disciplines of Technical Specification 6.5.2.1.a through 6.5.2.1.h is required.

Section 6.5.2.3 allows for alternate members to be appointed to the CNRB and to participate as voting members in the board activities. These members must meet the qualifications under proposed Section 6.5.2.2 for board membership, but not necessarily at the same qualifications and experience level as the members they are replacing. By having only two alternates at a time as voting members of the CNRB, the safety function of the board is preserved. The proposed change would delete the term "to serve on a temporary basis" which is an undefined term.

This Amendment Request allows Toledo Edison to modify the CNRB in the future while continuing to ensure that only qualified and experienced personnel are members; thereby, ensuring that the safety function will be performed. Furthermore, these proposed changes will ensure that any future changes in CNRB membership will not require a License Amendment. This is consistent with the regulatory effort to reduce administrative Technical Specification changes in order to emphasize those Technical Specifications of immediate, and significant safety concern. Therefore, based on the above; it is determined that this is not an unreviewed safety question under 10 CFR 50.59.

Significant Hazard Consideration

The proposed amendment request delineating the Company Nuclear Review Board (CNRB) membership qualification, deleting member listing by titles and removing "to serve on a temporary basis" from the "Alternate" section does not represent a significant hazard.

The amendment request revises the Composition of the CNRB, Section 6.5.2.3 by deleting the membership list (title of position on the CNRB) and replacing it with NRC accepted wording. The proposed change achieves this by delineating the educational and technical experience required for membership to the CNRB. A Bachelor's degree in an engineering or physical science field or equivalent experience and a specified minimum level of experience in the disciplines of Technical Specification 6.5.2.1.a through 6.5.2.1.h is required.

Section 6.5.2.3 allows for alternate members to be appointed to the CNRB and to participate as voting members in the board activities. These members must meet the qualifications under proposed Section 6.5.2.2 for board membership, but not necessarily at the same qualifications and experience level as the members they are replacing. By having only two alternates at a time as voting members of the CNRB, the safety function of the board is preserved. The proposed change would delete the term "to serve on a temporary basis" which is an undefined term.

This Amendment Request allows Toledo Edison to modify the CNRB in the future while continuing to ensure that only qualified and experienced personnel are members; thereby, ensuring that the safety function will be performed. Furthermore, these proposed changes will ensure that any future changes in CNRB membership will not require a License Amendment. This is consistent with the regulatory effort to reduce administrative Technical Specification changes in order to emphasize those Technical Specifications of immediate, and significant safety concern.

The granting of the request would not:

- 1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(1)).

This amendment request only affects the CNRB membership and Alternates. The granting of this request would allow for placing of personnel with qualifications and experience on the Board ensuring that the safety function of the CNRB is fulfilled. This request does not increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

- 2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(2)).

All accidents are still bounded by previous analysis and no new accidents are involved.

- 3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety (10 CFR 50.92(c)(3)).

All margins of safety assumed in previous analysis remain unchanged.

On the basis of the above, Toledo Edison has determined that the amendment request does not involve a significant hazard consideration.