

SSER

Task: Allegation A-13, A-14, A-15, A-16, A-17

Reference No.: 4-83-A-74-1, -2, -3, -4, -5

Characterization: The allegation is that electrical seismic support documentation deficiencies and problems identified by Ebasco QA Inspection Records Group (QAIRG) were not being addressed.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance of this allegation is that lack of corrective action on identified deficiencies could cause the quality of installation to be questionable.

These allegations involved specific problems with five electrical supports identified by the allegor. Each of the supports was evaluated by the NRC staff regarding the adequacy of the documentation, and each support was inspected as installed in the plant.

LP&L also responded to each of the alleged problems related to the subject supports in two submittals to the NRC Senior Resident Inspector (SRI). The response provided an explanation why LP&L does not consider there is a problem or what action they were taking to correct the problem.

The NRC staff reviewed these responses and considered them to be adequate. In addition, the NRC field inspection verified that the documentation matches the support and revealed no deficiencies. The following is an itemized explanation of each allegation:

Allegation A-13: This involved defaced documents and inaccurate references to design documentation. This allegation was given to NRC on October 7, 1983. However, on September 15, 1983, NCR W3-6938 was issued to document these concerns. Reinspections were performed on October 12, 1983, and the NCR was closed on October 28, 1983. The NRC staff review of the documentation and field verification of the supports determined that this item had been properly corrected.

Allegation A-14: This allegation related to a support which contained three 3/8" concrete anchors in lieu of two as shown on the inspection documentation. LP&L agreed that a question existed and performed a reinspection of the hanger. This reinspection revealed no deficiencies and is documented on Daily Surveillance Report W3-NY-12 TK-7, dated February 28, 1984. The NRC staff review of the reinspection records and field inspection of the support determined that this problem had been properly corrected.

Allegation A-15: This allegation involved a question of whether a support had been deleted, replaced, or remains, due to "confusing" information on inspection documentation. The NRC staff review of the subject documentation and field inspections determined that the support had been deleted and was replaced by a new support. The new support was inspected by the NRC and was found to be acceptable.

Allegation A-16: This allegation involved a missing concrete anchor inspection form in the document package for support 32C13. NCR W3-7310 was issued on October 19, 1983 to document this deficiency. The NRC staff review of the closure of this NCR indicated that this item had been properly corrected.

Allegation A-17: This allegation involved whether support 22E40 still exists in the field. Due to a confusing hanger identification number in the document package (22E40/C100) and a concrete anchor inspection report which references this support, although the support contains no concrete anchors. The NRC review revealed the following:

- The C100 at the end of the support number is the batch number of the paint use to coat the support. It was therefore determined that no conflict in hanger identification existed.
- The anchor inspection report in question (303-87-87) was revised on October 10, 1983 to show that the correct support number is 24E40. The "22" in the support identification number indicates that this support is located in building evaluation "-4." The "24" indicates building elevation "+24." As this support is, in fact, located on elevation "-4," this correction of the report was considered appropriate. In addition, support 24E40 has since been deleted, therefore inspection report 303-87-87 no longer pertains to any existing installation and, therefore, has been removed from the records vault.

In conclusion, it was determined that all of these items have been adequately addressed and/or corrected and that this allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

[Potential Violations: None.]

4

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Letter from L. L. Bass (LP&L) to L. Constable (NRC-SRI) dated February 15, 1984.
2. Letter from L. L. Bass (LP&L) to L. Constable (NRC-SRI) dated March 2, 1984.

3. LP&L Surveillance Report W3-NY-12 TK-7 dated February 28, 1984.

Statement Prepared By:

Dale Thatcher/William Marini

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:

SSEP X A-13

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

DThatcher/WMarini

Document Comments:

A-14, A-15, A-16, A-17

*Now in
Draft 3*