

Northeast Utilities
Millstone - Unit 3

Independent Corrective Action Verification Program
(ICAVP)

Programmatic Review Checklist

CK-MP3-04 -01, Rev. 0

Corrective Actions

Prepared by: P. Sheppard
Name

P. Sheppard
Signature

4/7/97
Date

Approved by: T. J. Ryan
Name

T. J. Ryan
Signature

4/7/97
Date

IMPLEMENTATION

System		
Document No./Rev.		
Verified by:		Date:
Concurrence by:		Date:

Corrective Actions Checklist

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS
CONDITION REPORT EVALUATION REVIEW
CONDITION REPORT NUMBER _____

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1. Is the nonconforming or degraded item or activity identified?	_____	_____
2. Where applicable, is the requirement that was violated identified?	_____	_____
3. Has NU approved the condition report evaluation?	_____	_____
4. Does the evaluation resolve the specific identified condition adverse to quality?	_____	_____
5. Is the extent of the condition sufficiently determined?	_____	_____
6. Is the effect of the condition sufficiently determined:		
a. Does the evaluation identify the effect on items and activities?	_____	_____
b. Have affected plant processes or procedures been sufficiently identified?	_____	_____
7. Is the cause of the condition identified?	_____	_____
8. Has the root cause been identified for Significance Level A and B issues?	_____	_____
9. Has an apparent cause been identified for other issues identified by NU's CMP or the ICAVP?	_____	_____
10. Is the Significance Level appropriate based on the information developed during the evaluation?	_____	_____
11. Are preventive actions sufficiently determined?	_____	_____
12. Are the planned corrective actions sufficiently documented?	_____	_____
13. Are the planned corrective actions adequate?	_____	_____
14. Are those corrective actions identified as <u>not</u> required for restart adequately justified and appropriate?	_____	_____

Corrective Actions Checklist

- | | <u>YES</u> | <u>NO</u> |
|---|------------|-----------|
| 15. Is the condition report evaluation sufficiently detailed? | _____ | _____ |
| 16. Has a safety evaluation or screening been performed for "use-as-is" or "repair" dispositions? | _____ | _____ |
| 17. Is the evaluation legible and suitable as a QA Record? | _____ | _____ |
| 18. Are there any other problems with the condition report evaluation? | _____ | _____ |

Describe below

For any "No" items, provide the reason and any appropriate discussion. For any "No" items, which are determined not to be a discrepancy, provide the basis.

Comments

Item No.

Discussion