

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-02, A-202, A-274

Reference No.: 4-83-A-48/2; 4-84-A-06/46; 4-84-A-06/156

Characterization: Inspectors^{were} not properly certified.

Initial Assessment of Significance: QC inspectors may have performed inspections while not properly qualified or certified, ^{making} the validity of their inspections questionable. 1

Source: QA allegation Mercury; Individual "C"; and Gambit Newspaper article, dated December 10, 1983.

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review Mercury's certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Levels I, II, and III.
2. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed.
3. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
4. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
5. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
A-02

Requestor's ID:
JOHNNIE

Author's Name:
JHarrison/jkh

Document Comments:
Waterford Open Issue - A-02

1

Retype Draft 8

Hrr.

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-02

Reference No.: 4-83-A-48/2

Characterization: Inspectors not properly certified.

Initial Assessment of Significance: QC inspectors may have performed inspections while not properly qualified or certified - makes the validity of the inspections questionable. 1

Source: QA allegation Mercury; Individual ["C"]; and Gambit Newspaper article, dated December 10, 1983.

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review Mercury's certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Levels I, II, and III.
2. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed.
3. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
4. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
5. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-02

Reference No.: 4-83-A-48/2

Characterization: Inspectors not properly certified.

Initial Assessment of Significance: QC inspectors may have performed inspections while not properly qualified or certified - makes the validity of the inspections questionable.

Source: QA allegation Mercury; Individual "C"; and Gambit Newspaper article, dated December 10, 1983.

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review Mercury's certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Levels I, II, and III.
2. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed.
3. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
4. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
5. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

J. HARRISON

DRAFT 6
06/09/84

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: ~~A-01~~; A-02; ~~A-03~~; ~~A-28~~; ~~A-57~~; A-208; A-274; ~~A-285b~~; ~~A-304~~; ~~A-305~~; ~~A-314~~

Ref. No.: ~~4-83-A-48/1,2,3~~; ~~4-83-A-81(B)/2~~; ~~4-83-A-88/9~~; 4-84-A-06-96;
~~4-84-A-06-156~~; ~~4-84-A-06-167~~; ~~4-84-A-06-182~~; ~~4-84-A-06-183~~; ~~4-84-A-12-4~~

Characterization: ~~QC inspector certification deficiencies. Past employment not verified. Inspectors not properly certified. Answers to exams given;~~

Initial Assessment of Significance: QC inspectors may have performed inspections while not properly qualified or certified - makes the validity of the inspections questionable.

Source: QA allegation; ~~Unknown allegation~~; Individual ^{mercury} "C" and Gambit news article, 12/10/83. ^

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review ^{mercury's} ~~contractors~~ certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73/74), Levels I, II and III.
- ~~2. Determine whether records reflect verification of education and experience (past employment) - in writing (see circular 80-22).~~
- ~~3. Interview a sample of previous mercury inspectors to determine if exam answers were routinely given to exams (if possible).~~
- 2 4. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed. ~~(Mercury, Peabody, Sline, T&B, Gulf, and F&M).~~
- ~~5. LP&L provide a response and certs for item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).~~
- 3 6. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
- 4 7. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
- 5 8. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: X man days (total)

Estimated Completion: 5/3/84

CLOSURE:

1