

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-270

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/152

Characterization: It is alleged that the concerns mentioned in a document called an "after-action report" written by an EBASCO quality control (QC) civil engineer (dated December 15, 1975), on the common foundation basemat, Block No. 2 (placement sequence 3), had never been properly addressed or resolved.

Initial Assessment of Significance: The after action report may contain information not evaluated if the report was not considered and the information was not contained in other quality documents. Only a detailed review of the issues raised in the after-action report against other quality documentation such as DNs, DRs, and NCRs will reveal whether all relevant issues have been considered.

Source: Allegor["C"] transcript, Exhibit 41

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review the "After-Action Report, Phearson to Griggs dated December 15, 1975.
2. All DNs, DRs, and NCRs associated with Block 2 will be reviewed.
3. Review all relevant J. A. Jones and EBASCO procedures.
4. Review Stop Work Order no. 1.
5. Evaluate the factual information and write conclusions.
6. Prepare SSER.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao, Civil-Structural

Support:

Estimated Resources: 4 man-days

Estimated Completion: May 25, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
A-270

Requestor's ID:
JOHNNIE

Author's Name:
SHEWMaker/jkh

Document Comments:
Waterford Open Issue - A-270

Retype Draft 2

slow.

DRAFT 1
07/17/84

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-270

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/152

Characterization: It is alleged that the concerns mentioned in a document called an "after-action report" written by an EBASCO quality control (QC) civil engineer (dated December 15, 1975), on the common foundation basemat, Block No. 2 (placement sequence 3), had never been properly addressed or resolved.

Initial Assessment of Significance: The after action report may contain information not evaluated if the report was not considered and the information was not contained in other quality documents. Only a detailed review of the issues raised in the after-action report against other quality documentation such as DNs, DRs, and NCRs will reveal whether all relevant issues have been considered.

Source: Allegor ["C"] transcript, Exhibit 41

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review the "After-Action Report, Phearson to Griggs dated December 15, 1975.
2. All DNs, DRs, and NCRs associated with Block 2 will be reviewed.
3. Review all relevant J. A. Jones and EBASCO procedures.
4. Review Stop Work Order no. 1.
5. Evaluate the factual information and write conclusions.
6. Prepare SSER.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao, Civil-Structural

Support:

Estimated Resources: 4 man-days

Estimated Completion: May 25, 1984

CLOSURE: