

SSER

Task: Allegation A-273

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/155

Characterization: It is alleged that there was incomplete quality control (QC) field verification of corrective action prior to closure of EBASCO Nonconformance Report (NCR) W3-2333, that is, not all hanger supports were reviewed for seismic qualification.

Assessment of Allegation: The alleged supplied to the NRC staff a conversation record between himself and a former Mercury employee. From this document the staff learned the employee had told the alleged that, as of the time he left Mercury and the Waterford 3 site, only about 95% of the required QC field verifications pertaining to NCR W3-2333 had been accomplished. In view of this information, the alleged's concern was whether the other 5% of the field verifications had been performed prior to closure of the NCR.

The NRC staff discovered that the former Mercury employee was currently an employee of EBASCO at another site, and that he had been temporarily called to the Waterford 3 site to assist site personnel in resolving other allegations. The NRC staff contacted him in order to determine which hanger supports had not been reviewed. He stated that all but eight of the supports requiring rework had been completed, inspected, and documented.

The NRC staff reviewed EBASCO NCR W3-2333 and found these eight supports documented in the closed NCR package. The staff reviewed the documentation, and reviewed the remainder of NCR W3-2333 for adequate closure. The staff found that the NCR adequately addressed field verification of hanger supports and had been dispositioned and closed out properly.

This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

[Potential Violations: None.]

Actions Required: None.

References

1. NCR W3-2333
2. Allegor["C"] Transcript, Exhibit 44
3. Conversation Record between W. Marini and [K. Gilkerson]

Statement Prepared By: _____
William S. Marini Date

Reviewed By: _____
Team Leader Date

Reviewed By: _____
Site Team Leader(s) Date

Approved By: _____
Task Management Date

Document Name:
SSER A-273

file

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:
comm from displaywriter 5/29/84

SENSITIVE

DO NOT DISCLOSE

Document Name:
SSER A-273

file

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:
comm from displaywriter 5/29/84

SSER

Task: Allegation A-273

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/155

Characterization: It is alleged that there was incomplete quality control (QC) field verification of corrective action prior to closure of EBASCO Nonconformance Report (NCR) W3-2333, that is, not all hanger supports were reviewed for seismic qualification.

Assessment of Allegation: The allegor supplied to the NRC staff a conversation record between himself and a former Mercury employee. From this document, the staff learned ^{THAT} the employee had told the allegor that, as of the time he left Mercury and the Waterford 3 site, only about 95% of the required QC field verifications pertaining to NCR W3-2333 had been accomplished. In view of this information, the allegor's concern was whether the other 5% of the field verifications had been performed prior to closure of the NCR.

~~AT THE TIME OF THE ALLEGATION~~

~~The NRC staff discovered that the former Mercury employee was currently an employee of EBASCO at another site, and that he had been temporarily called to the Waterford 3 site to assist site personnel in resolving other allegations.~~
^{THE FORMER MERCURY EMPLOYEE}
The NRC staff contacted him in order to determine which hanger supports had not been reviewed. He stated that all but eight of the supports requiring rework had been completed, inspected, and documented.

The NRC staff reviewed EBASCO NCR W3-2333 and found these eight supports documented in the closed NCR package. The staff reviewed the documentation, and reviewed the remainder of NCR W3-2333 for adequate closure. The staff found that the NCR adequately addressed field verification of hanger supports and had been dispositioned and closed out properly.

This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. NCR W3-2333
2. Allegor["C"] Transcript, Exhibit 44
3. Conversation Record between W. Marini and ^{A FORMER MERCURY EMPLOYEE} ~~K. Gilkerson~~

Statement Prepared By: _____
William S. Marini Date

Reviewed By: _____
Team Leader Date

Reviewed By: _____
Site Team Leader(s) Date

Approved By: _____
Task Management Date