

SSER

Task: Allegation A-61

Reference No.: 4-83-A-88-13

Characterization: It is alleged that EBASCO's Nonconformance Report (NCR) W3-4504 should be reviewed by the NRC.

Assessment of Allegation: Because of damaged threads found during the inspection of an installed thermowell, Ebasco prepared NCR W3-4504 to cover the repair of the threads. An error during the repair work resulted in further damage to the threads. It was then necessary to make a seal weld between the thermowell and associated nipple to assure a leak-tight connection. The load bearing capability of the damaged threads remains.

At the completion of all work, NCR W3-4504, Revision 2, was completed and closed. Later, four documentation discrepancies were discovered and NCR W3-4504 was reopened and designated Revision 3. Three of the four discrepancies resulted from an original requirement that the weld was to conform to Section III of the ASME Code. It was later determined that the seal weld was for leak-tight purposes only and not for load bearing purposes. Thus, its conformance to the ASME Code was not required. As a result, the discrepancies were no longer applicable.

The fourth discrepancy was that "there was no evidence of a sign off by Mercury or Tompkins-Beckwith after the final corrective action was supposedly completed on Revision 3." Since the resolution of the discrepancies resulted from an engineering decision, Ebasco signed Revision 3. The other contractors had previously signed the earlier NCR revisions which reflected the completion of their work.

The NRC reviewed all the documentation supporting the closure of Revision 3, and concurred that the discrepancies were adequately resolved.

This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References: NCR-W3-4504, Revision 3.

Statement Prepared By: _____
R. W. Hubbard Date

Reviewed By: _____
Team Leader Date

Reviewed By: _____
Site Team Leader(s) Date

Approved By: _____
Task Management Date

Document Name:

SSER A-61

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

These only addresses one of
the original five allegations.
Please look into this.

OK 6/12/84
only
was

A-61a, REV 0, 6/27/84

RETYPE REV 1 (FINAL)

DO NOT REROUTE

was
6/29

FINAL SSER ROUTING

Revision :		0	1	2	3
✓	Denny Crutchfield	<i>[Signature]</i> 6/27			
✓	Jim Gagliardo	<i>[Signature]</i> 6/27			

A-61a
F

SSER

Task: Allegation A-61a

Reference No.: 4-83-A-88/13a

Characterization: It is alleged that EBASCO's Nonconformance Report (NCR) W3-4504 should be reviewed by the NRC.

Assessment of Allegation: Because of damaged threads found during the inspection of an installed thermowell, Ebasco prepared NCR W3-4504 to cover the repair of the threads. An error during the repair work resulted in further damage to the threads. It was then necessary to make a seal weld between the thermowell and associated nipple to assure a leak-tight connection. ^{Since} The load bearing capability of the damaged threads remains.

At the completion of all work, NCR W3-4504, Revision 2, was completed and closed. Later, four documentation discrepancies were discovered and NCR W3-4504 was reopened and designated Revision 3. Three of the four discrepancies resulted from an original requirement that the weld was to conform to Section III of the ASME Code. It was later determined that the seal weld was for leak-tight purposes only and not for load bearing purposes. Thus, its conformance to the ASME Code was not required. As a result, the discrepancies were no longer applicable.

The fourth discrepancy was that "there was no evidence of a sign off by Mercury or Tompkins-Beckwith after the final corrective action was supposedly completed on Revision 3." Since the resolution of the discrepancies resulted from an engineering decision, Ebasco signed Revision 3. The other contractors had previously signed the earlier NCR revisions which reflected the completion of their work.

The NRC reviewed all the documentation supporting the closure of Revision 3, and concurred that the discrepancies were adequately resolved.

This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

[Potential Violations: None.]

Actions Required: None.

References: NCR-4504, Revision 3.

Statement Prepared By:

R. W. Hubbard

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:

SSER A-61

file

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

A-6/a

*NEED OPEN
ISSUE SHEET
SEE A 33 AND
4-83-A-88 /13a*