

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-128d, A-212, A-275

Ref. No.: 4-84-A-06/23d; 4-84-A-06/100; 4-84-A-06/157

Characterization: Allegation that there were undersized welds in Mercury's work.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Welds may not be strong enough to bear design loads.

Source: "Gambit" article dated January 14, 1984

Approach to Resolution:

1. Obtain and review requirements from specifications drawings procedures and code.
2. Review NCRs relating to undersized welds.
3. Determine if NCRs cover scope of the allegation and if the NCRs have been satisfactorily resolved.
4. If NCRs are not sufficient in scope, visually inspect a system as a sample to determine if welds are undersized to the criteria in the NCRs. Document undersized welds.
5. Determine if undersized welds are generic or isolated.
6. If generic implications are present, require reinspection by QA group and licensee.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao (WHS)

Support: QA

Estimate Resources:

Estimated Completion:

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
A-128D

Requestor's ID:
PATTYN

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

Document Name:
A-128D

Requestor's ID:
PATTYN

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-128d, A-212, A-275

Ref. No.: 4-84-A-06/23d; 4-84-A-06/100; 4-84-A-06/157

Characterization: Allegation that there were undersized welds in Mercury's work.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Welds may not be strong enough to bear design loads.

Source: "Gambit" article dated January 14, 1984

Approach to Resolution:

1. Obtain and review requirements from specifications drawings procedures and code.
2. Review NCRs relating to undersized welds.
3. Determine if NCRs cover scope of the allegation and if the NCRs have been satisfactorily resolved.
4. If NCRs are not sufficient in scope, visually inspect a system as a sample to determine if welds are undersized to the criteria in the NCRs. Document undersized welds.
5. Determine if undersized welds are generic or isolated.
6. If generic implications are present, require reinspection by QA group and licensee.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao (WHS)

Support: QA

Estimate Resources:

Estimated Completion:

CLOSURE:

Document Name:

A-1280
d

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

OK
6-14-84
NE

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-128d, A-212, A-275, ~~A-275~~

Ref. No.: 4-84-A-06/23d; ~~4-84-A-06/100~~; 4-84-A-06/157

Characterization: Allegation that there were undersized welds in Mercury's work.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Welds may not be strong enough to bear design loads.

Source: "Gambit" article dated January 14, 1984

Approach to Resolution:

1. Obtain and review requirements from specifications drawings procedures and code.
2. Review NCRs relating to undersized welds.
3. Determine if NCRs cover scope of the allegation and if the NCRs have been satisfactorily resolved.
4. If NCRs are not sufficient in scope, visually inspect a system as a sample to determine if welds are undersized to the criteria in the NCRs. Document undersized welds.
5. Determine if undersized welds are generic or isolated.
6. If generic implications are present, require reinspection by QA group and licensee.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao (WHS)

Support: QA

Estimate Resources:

Estimated Completion:

CLOSURE: