

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-57

Reference No.: 4-84-A-12-4

Characterization: It is alleged that Mercury Level III examiners had no site certifications and details concerns over Level III personnel.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Level III inspection personnel may not have been certified which could affect the certification of Level I and Level II inspections personnel performing safety-related inspection. Further, certain Level III personnel may not have been qualified due to insufficient education and previous experience, making the validity of their inspections questionable.

Source: Individuals ["F" and "G."]

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contractors certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Level III.
2. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed (Mercury).
3. LP&L to provide a response and certifications for Item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).
4. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
5. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
6. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day (total)

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

DRAFT 8
07/18/84

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-57, A-3/4

Reference No.: 4-84-A-12-4

Characterization: *in site's allegation* It is alleged that Mercury Level III examiners had no site certifications and details concerns over Level III personnel.

Furthermore, Initial Assessment of Significance: Level III inspection personnel may not have been certified which could affect the certification of Level I and Level II inspections personnel performing safety-related inspection. *Further,* certain Level III personnel may not have been qualified due to insufficient education and previous experience, making the validity of their inspections questionable.

Source: Individuals "F" and "G."

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contractors certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Level III.
2. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed (Mercury).
3. LP&L to provide a response and certifications for Item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).
4. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
5. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
6. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day (total)

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-57, A-314

Reference No.: 4-84-A-12-4

Characterization: ^{This allegation} ~~It is~~ alleged that Mercury Level III examiners had no site certifications and details concerns over Level III personnel.
_{ed}

Initial Assessment of Significance: Level III inspection personnel may not have been certified which could affect the certification of Level I and Level II inspections personnel performing safety-related inspection. Furthermore, certain Level III personnel may not have been qualified due to insufficient education and previous experience, making the validity of their inspections questionable.

Source: Individuals ["F" and "G."]

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contractors certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Level III.
2. See QC Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed (Mercury).
3. LP&L to provide a response and certifications for Item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).
4. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
5. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
6. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day (total)

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE: