

DRAFT 7
07/17/84

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-57

Reference No.: 4-84-A-12-4

Characterization: Alleged that Mercury Level III examiners had no site certs and concerns over Level III personnel.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Level III inspection personnel may not have been certified which could affect the certification of Level I and Level II inspections personnel performing safety-related inspection. Further, certain Level III personnel may not have been qualified due to insufficient education and previous experience - makes the validity of the inspections questionable.

Source: Individuals ["F" and "G."]

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contractors certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Level III.
2. See QA Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed (Mercury).
3. LP&L provide a response and certs for Item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).
4. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
5. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
6. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day (total)

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-57

Reference No.: 4-84-A-12-4

Characterization: Alleged that Mercury Level III examiners had no site certs and concerns over Level III personnel.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Level III inspection personnel may not have been certified which could affect the certification of Level I and Level II inspections personnel performing safety-related inspection. Further, certain Level III personnel may not have been qualified due to insufficient education and previous experience - makes the validity of the inspections questionable.

Source: Individuals ["F" and "G."]

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contractors certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Level III.
2. See QA Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed (Mercury).
3. LP&L provide a response and certs for Item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).
4. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
5. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
6. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day (total)

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
A-57

Requestor's ID:
JOHNNIE

Author's Name:
JHarrison/jkh

Document Comments:
Waterford Open Issue - A-57

Retype Draft 8

Return for proof

Harr.

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-57

Reference No.: 4-84-A-12-4

Characterization: ^{It is} Alleged that Mercury Level III examiners had no site ^{certifications} ~~certs~~ and ^{details} concerns over Level III personnel.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Level III inspection personnel may not have been certified which could affect the certification of Level I and Level II inspections personnel performing safety-related inspection. Furthermore, certain Level III personnel may not have been qualified due to insufficient education and previous experience ^{making} the validity of their inspections questionable.

Source: Individuals ["F" and "G."]

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contractors certification program against NRC requirements and ANSI N45.2.6 (73), Level III.
2. See QA Inspector List for particular records to be reviewed (Mercury).
3. LP&L ^{to} provide a response and ^{certifications} ~~certs~~ for Item 9 - review and evaluate (information file in RI's safe).
4. Refer any examples of wrongdoing to OI.
5. Evaluate allegations for generic/safety implications.
6. Report on results of review/evaluation of allegations.

Status: In progress - Team 1 (Westberg/Ross)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support: OI

Estimated Resources: 1 man-day (total)

Estimated Completion: May 3, 1984

CLOSURE: