

SSER

Task: Allegation A-26

Reference No.: 4-83 - A-81-A7

Characterization: The allegation is that interoffice correspondence, dated April 9, 1983, from Ebasco to LP&L (File Reference CMH #83-601), implies that nonconforming conditions may not have been properly identified and corrected by Ebasco, thus having hardware impact.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance of this allegation is that without proper identification of nonconformances and proper corrective action, the quality of installation may be questionable.

Ebasco and LP&L issued a series of interoffice memoranda, including the subject correspondence, to discuss the status of various open items related to Start-Up System (SUS) SUS-48, Containment Vessel.

The NRC staff reviewed this interoffice memoranda and correspondence and found that the problem with the containment vessel documentation had been identified as a result of an Ebasco quality assurance installation review group (QAIRG) review, and that the problem was discovered when Chicago Bridge & Iron (CB&I) turned the documentation over to Ebasco.

The NRC staff found that Ebasco had properly identified and resolved the nonconformance problems on Ebasco QAI 9.2, Deficiency Reports (DRs). The requirements for upgrading of DRs to nonconformance reports (NCRs) were complied with when required (e.g., NCR W3-6231), and the interoffice memoranda and correspondence were issued to discuss open item status.

The NRC staff concluded that the subject correspondence was used only to document status and expedite closure of open items. All problems on the containment vessel were properly identified and dispositioned on DRs and NCRs. Additionally, the NRC staff conducted an interview with the correspondence originator, who said that the status was obtained by reviewing the QAI 9.2 DRs and this listing was for information to further status and expedite open item closure.

The NRC staff concludes that this allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

Potential Violations: None.] 4

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Interoffice correspondence dated April 9, 1983, File Ref: CMH #83-601 Q-405-014; Q-405-016; Q-405-025; Q-405-033.3; Q-405-039.1; and Q-405-027.
2. LP&I [redacted] up Comments on SUS-48 Revision 0, dated April 25, 1983
3. Rel [redacted] over Package Review (Mechanical - T-B)
4. Inter [redacted] correspondence dated April 7, 1983, same subject as Reference ..

Statement Prepared By:

Tom Morgan

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date