

SSER

Task: Allegation A-249

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-135

Characterization: It is alleged that Ebasco Discrepancy Notices (DNs) were written and given to contractors who would process the DNs through their own Engineering/Quality Assurance (QA) personnel and would then either change documentation or walk down a system to eliminate the discrepancy at which time the DNs would be "trash canned".

Assessment of Allegation: The implied safety significance of this allegation is that improperly processed Ebasco DNs and lack of adequate corrective action could cause the quality of installation to be questionable.

The NRC staff reviewed Ebasco DN control procedures for adequacy and evidence of implementation, and reviewed Ebasco DN logs and files for completeness. The staff interviewed personnel involved with the processing of DNs, and selected and reviewed approximately 20 DNs related specifically to system numbers 36 (Component Cooling Water), 52a (Reactor Coolant), 60 (Safety Injection), and others which pertain to hardware, and verified the corrective action taken by Ebasco.

The NRC staff review revealed the following:

1. Ebasco procedures addressed the initiation, processing, logging, resolution, reviewing, reporting, and filing of Discrepancy Notices (DNs). These procedures were adequate for the control of DNs.
2. The DN logs and files in both the Quality Material Control, Construction Quality Control areas, and the Ebasco QA vault were found to be in order with the DN numbers all in sequence. There were no missing or lined out DNs that were unaccounted for. The files also had mp DNs that were missing.
3. The personnel involved with the implementation of the DN system, by the reviewed procedures were interviewed by the NRC staff and found to be knowledgeable and conscientious about their responsibilities.
4. A random selection of approximately 20 DNs were reviewed by the NRC. Some were for a time period in 1981 and specifically for systems 36, 52a and 60. All those requested were provided. They were reviewed by the NRC staff and found to be properly closed, by a specific action or by reference to an NCR number, with appropriate signatures.

Additionally, DNs are now entered into the Ebasco tracking system to assure an adequate status is maintained.

The NRC staff concluded that Ebasco's procedural content and implementation of the DN system was adequate, that all DNs were accounted for, and that adequate corrective action was taken. This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

## References

### Ebasco Procedures

1. APS-III-7, Corrective action dated September 20, 1978, Rev. F.
2. WQC-1 Control of receiving, handling and storage of materials, soils and concrete dated January 20, 1981, Rev. 5.
3. WQC-150, Inspection and Test Status dated Rev. 0 to Rev. 3.
4. WQC-167, Review and Transmittal of Quality Related Records dated August 29, 1983, Rev. 1.
5. WQC-117, Inspection and Test Status Rev. 0 thru Rev. 2.
6. WQC-200, Inspection and Test Status dated January 19, 1983, Rev. 1.
7. WQC-82, Inspection and Test Status Field Quality Control Manual dated May 28, 1979, Rev. 0.

Statement Prepared By: B. L. Freed Date \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewed By: Team Leader Date

Reviewed By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Site Team Leader(s) \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Approved By: \_\_\_\_\_ Task Management \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Document Name:  
SSER A-249

Requestor's ID:  
PATTYN

Author's Name:  
B.L. Freed

Document Comments: