

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Report No. 50-155/85016(DRS)

Docket No. 50-155

License No. DPR-06

Licensee: Consumers Power Company
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Facility Name: Big Rock Point Nuclear Plant

Inspection At: Charlevoix, Michigan

Inspection Conducted: August 27-30, 1985

Inspector: R. Hasse

9-16-85
Date

Approved By: F. Hawkins, Chief
Quality Assurance Programs Section

9/16/85
Date

Inspection Summary

Inspection on August 27-30, 1985 (Report No. 50-155/85016(DRS))

Areas Inspected: Routine inspection by one regional inspector of licensee action on previous inspection findings and site QA staffing. The inspection involved 29 inspector-hours onsite.

Results: No violations or deviations were identified.

8509200087 850916
PDR ADDCK 05000155
Q PDR

DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

Consumers Power Company

- *D. Hoffman, Plant Superintendent
- *G. Withrow, Engineering and Maintenance Superintendent
- C. Abel, Production and Performance Superintendent
- *R. Alexander, Technical Engineer
- *G. Petitjean, Planning and Administrative Services Superintendent
- *L. Monshor, Quality Assurance Superintendent

USNRC

- *S. Guthrie, Senior Resident Inspector
- *F. Hawkins, Chief, Quality Assurance Programs Section

Other personnel were contacted as a matter of routine during the inspection.

*Denotes those attending the exit interview on August 30, 1985.

2. Action on Previous Inspection Findings

- a. (Closed) Unresolved Item (155/85010-01): Failure to complete equipment outage requests (EORs). The licensee had issued a memorandum to operations and maintenance personnel reminding them of the requirement to address all items on the EOR and the reasons for this requirement. The effectiveness of the corrective action will be assessed based on the results of the next audit of this area by the licensee. The inspector was satisfied that the corrective action was appropriate and that the effectiveness would be assessed by the licensee within an appropriate time frame.
- b. (Open) Unresolved Item (155/85010-02): Contrary to the requirements of the revised Nuclear Operations Department Standard NODS-P01 ("Equipment Status Identification and Verification"), plant operating procedures permitted operability testing to be used as an independent verification of system alignment. At the time of this inspection the licensee had not implemented the revised standard. This item will remain open pending NRC review of licensee action.
- c. (Open) Unresolved Item (155/85010-03): Failure to ensure that cancelled NODS were not used as "use" references in the administrative procedures (APs) or other NODS. The inspector reviewed ten APs to determine if cancelled NODS were used as "use" references. Only one case was identified during this review: AP 1.16 ("Public Affairs Administration") referenced cancelled NODS A 15 to determine the approvals required to release information to the public relative to potential emergency situations. Based on this review, the inspector was satisfied that references to cancelled NODS as a "use" reference was not a generic problem. This item will remain open pending

completion of the related corrective actions: deleting references to cancelled NODS from APs and other NODS and ensuring that all requirements of the cancelled NODS have been incorporated into other documents. The licensee expects to complete these actions by January 1, 1986.

3. Site QA Staffing

During a previous inspection (Inspection Report No. 155/85010) the inspector expressed the concern that the site QA organization might be understaffed. This resulted from the transfer of functions previously performed by the General Office to the site QA organization without a corresponding increase in the site QA staffing. During this inspection, the inspector reviewed overtime usage and the work backlog for the site QA organization. Neither were excessive. Also, additional QA support was anticipated for the refueling outage scheduled to begin in September 1985. Based on this information, the inspector concluded that the current QA staffing level at the Big Rock Point site was adequate.

4. Exit Interview

The inspector met with licensee representatives (denoted in Paragraph 1) at the conclusion of the inspection on August 26, 1985, and summarized the purpose, scope, and findings of the inspection. The licensee indicated that the inspector had no access to proprietary information during the inspection.