

921,
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Herbert Grossman, Chairman
Richard F. Cole
A. Dixon Callihan



SERVED FEB 3 1986

In the Matter of

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

(Braidwood Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-456-OL
50-457-OL


ASLBP No. 79-410-03-OL

February 3, 1986

ERRATA

The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board hereby issues the attached Corrected Page 9 to its January 31, 1986 Memorandum and Order (Accepting for Litigation Intervenor's Offer of Proof Issues 2, 3, 4 and 6, as Restricted to the Material Facts Contained in Intervenor's Reply of January 21, 1986).

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY
AND LICENSING BOARD


Herbert Grossman, Chairman
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

8602040288 860203
PDR ADDCK 05000456
G PDR

DS02

proof in which they would present their respective positions on the merits of the issues raised by intervenor in the nature of motions for summary disposition. On the basis of those factual presentations by Applicant and Staff, the parties were ordered to confer with the view towards resolving or narrowing the issues where possible. Mrs. Rorem would then file her reply, going to the merits of the remaining issues. (Tr. 433-34, 457-58.)

The parties timely briefed the issues and conferred, pursuant to the Board's schedule as later amended. We commend counsel for Applicant, George L. Edgar, Esq., for his sustained efforts in arranging to confer with Mrs. Rorem.

As a result, we have before us four relatively focused issues, specifying their respective factual underpinnings, in place of the seven broad ones contained in the offer of proof. We believe that, in the form presented, these issues appear material and subject to proof in a relatively succinct manner in a well-focused hearing. We make no final determination as to their legal sufficiency. Any further attack on their legal sufficiency can be offered in motions to strike filed before the scheduled hearing and/or in posthearing briefings.

We accept the issues for hearing at this juncture as a matter of Board discretion. We recognize that Intervenor failed to disclose these issues adequately in the two weeks before hearing from October