

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company
Quad Cities Station, Units 1 and 2

Docket Nos. 50-254; 50-265
License Nos. DPR-29; DPR-30

During an NRC inspection conducted on December 7, 1996, through January 27, 1997, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," NUREG 1600, the violations are listed below:

1. Technical Specification (TS) 6.8.A.1 required applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978 be implemented. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, lists procedures governing the operation of the control rod drive system and the standby gas treatment system. The appendix also listed administrative procedures, such as shift and relief turnover.
 - a. Quad Cities Operating Procedure (QCOP) 7500-2, "Standby Gas Treatment (SBGT) System Shutdown," steps G.3.A.6 and G.3.A.7, required the operator to place the "B" train control switch to the "Primary" position and to verify that all SBGT annunciators were clear.
 - b. Quad Cities Administrative Procedure (QCAP) 0210-4, "Shift Turnover Panel Check for Common Panels," required the operator to check and record the status of the control switches for the SBGT system.
 - c. Quad Cities Operating Surveillance (QCOS) 0301-01, "CRD Exercise," step 4.a.(2), required the operator to use single notch withdraw to return the control rod to the original position after exercising.

Contrary to the above,

- a.1. On December 16, 1996, an operator left the SBGT "B" train control switch in "Off" and did not verify that all annunciators were clear.
- b.1. On December 16, 1996, an operator recorded that the SBGT "B" train control switch was in the "Primary" position and it was actually in the "Off" position.
- c.1. On January 18, 1997, an operator used the notch override switch instead of the single notch withdraw to return the control rod to the original position after exercising.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

2. Technical Specification 4.8.D.5.b.2 required verifying the control room isolation dampers close following a simulated automatic isolation signal.

Contrary to the above, from April 16, 1985, to December 14, 1996, the licensee did not verify the automatic closure of the control room ventilation dampers as required by TS 4.8.D.5.b.2.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Commonwealth Edison is hereby required to submit a written statement of explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region III, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Because your response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), to the extent possible, it should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

Dated at Lisle, Illinois,
this 20th day of February 1997