

November 26, 1996



AMETEK

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

Re: "Reply to a notice of violation". USNRC Docket No. 99901303

Dear Sir or Madam:

1. At the time Quality Control Procedure QC-90-100 was written, and until the audit, we believed the procedure was correct, and complied with the requirements of "(10CFR21) Notification Procedure". Audits conducted by our customers did not indicate an issue with this procedure.
2. A new Quality Control Procedure QPGA-01 has been written (replacing QC-90-100) to clear the violation. (*The new Quality Control Procedure QPGA-01 was reviewed by Mr. Richard Wilson of the NRC. Without making a formal statement, Mr. Wilson found the procedure to meet the NRC requirements.*) A copy of Quality Control Procedure QPGA-01 is enclosed. A notice to Panalarm employees, notifying them of their responsibility under Quality Control Procedure QPGA-01 has been written, and will be posted on the company bulletin board by December 7, 1996. Those employees that work directly with Model 86 were personally notified of the requirement on October 23, 1996. A copy of the notice is enclosed.
3. The corrective steps have been taken by rewriting the Quality Control Procedure.
4. Based on the above, Ametek, Inc./Process & Analytical Instruments Division, Panalarm Business Unit will be in compliance December 7, 1996.

Sincerely yours,

AMETEK, INC.
PROCESS & ANALYTICAL INSTRUMENTS DIVISION
PANALARM BUSINESS UNIT

Arlin Demerer

Arlin Demerer
Quality Assurance Manager

AD/cma

cc: Mr. Robert M. Gallo, Chief Inspection Branch
Division of Inspection and Support Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Mr. Lawrence M. Froman, Manager
Panalarm Business Unit

IED 9/11

ID 92-13 vendor inspection

030046