

From: W. Hutchison
To: ROV
Date: 10/17/96 11:18am
Subject: REVISED SWEENEY CORRESPONDENCE -Reply

Rosetta,

Attached are the suggested OI changes (cleared thru Dir, OI) in the latest draft of the letter. The first change is because we feel using the wording "prompt and sufficient exchange" would give the reader a false expectation that we will provide such info on wrongdoing matters even where it is not "immediate" safety, and seems to conflict with the later part of the letter. The second change is because the matters involved when wrongdoing is suspected may well be defined in someone's eyes as "safety significant," but not of an "immediate" nature. In these instances our providing of information would still be on a case by case basis, based on any possible compromise of the wrongdoing investigation, DOJ involvement, enforcement action pending, etc.

Hope this helps.

Hutch