

JAN 17 1997

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of this review, we have no comment. Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs

Distribution:

DIR RF (6S315)
RLBangart
PLohaus
PLarkins
Nebraska File

130022

DCD (SP08)
PDR ☒ No ☐
RBlanton
JGreeves, NMSS/DWM
FCameron, OGC

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\RLB\NE96S315.WPD*See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OSP	C	OSP:DD	NMSS	OGC	OSP:D
NAME	RLBlanton:ndb		PLohaus	JGreeves	FXCameron	RLBangart
DATE	12/20/96		12/20/96*	1/3/97*	1/2/97*	1/17/97

OSP FILE CODE: SP-AG-16

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 97007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December, 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of the review we have one comment. We consider this, however, to be an administrative rather than a compatibility comment. Although 180 NAC 012.23, 012.24, and 012.25 are Division 2 compatibility requirements and your rules may be more restrictive, we note that the essential objective of the rules is to provide assurance of radiation protection at a disposal site, rather than a storage or treatment facility. As such, the regulations appear to apply the provisions in sections 012.23 and 012.25 to storage and treatment facilities while this is not a compatibility concern. We surely note that this may cause some confusion in the future application of the rule given that the provisions in 012.23 and 012.25 would not normally apply to a storage or treatment facility after closure.

Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs

Distribution:

DIR RF (6S-315)
RLBangart
PLohaus
PLarkins
Nebraska File

DCD (SP08)PDR (YES)

RBlanton
JGreeves, NMSS/DWM
FCameron, OGC

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\RLB\NE96S315.WPD* See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OSP	C	OSP:DD	NMSS	OGC	OSP:D
NAME	RLBlanton:maj		PLohaus	JGreeves	FXCameron	RLBangart
DATE	12/20/96*		12/20/96*	1/3/97*	1/2/97*	12/ /96

OSP FILE CODE: SP-AG-16

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December, 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of the review we have one comment. We consider this, however, to be an administrative rather than a compatibility comment. Although 180 NAC 012.23, 012.24, and 012.25 are Division 2 compatibility requirements and your rules may be more restrictive, we note that the essential objective of the rules is to provide assurance of radiation protection at a disposal site, rather than a storage or treatment facility. In particular, a storage or treatment facility would presumably be decommissioned and released for unrestricted use after closure and the mechanism of applying sections 012.23 and 012.25 to such facilities seems uncertain.

Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs

Distribution:
DIR RF (6S-315)
RLBangart
PLarkins
Nebraska File

DCD (SP08)PDR (YES)
PLohausRBlanton

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\RLB\NE96S315.WPD*See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OSP	C	OSP:DD	MMSS	OGC	OSP:D
NAME	RLBlanton:maj		PLohaus	JGreeves	FXCameron	RLBangart
DATE	12/20/96*		12/20/96*	12/19/96	12/ /96	12/ /96

OSP FILE CODE: SP-AG-16

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December, 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of the review we have one comment. We consider this, however, to be an administrative rather than a compatibility comment. Although 180 NAC 012.23, 012.24, and 012.25 are Division 2 compatibility requirements and your rules may be more restrictive, we note that the essential objective of the rules is to provide assurance of radiation protection at a disposal site, rather than a storage or treatment facility. In particular, a storage or treatment facility would presumably be decommissioned and released for unrestricted use after closure and the mechanism of applying sections 012.23 and 012.25 to such facilities seems uncertain.

Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs

Distribution:
DIR RF (6S-315)
RLBangart
PLarkins
Nebraska File

DCD (SP08)PDR (YES)
PLohausRBlanton

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\RLB\NE96S315.WPD*See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OSP	C	OSP:DD		NMSS		OGC		OSP:D	
NAME	RLBlanton:maj		PLohaus		JGreeves		FXCameron		RLBangart	
DATE	12/20/96*		12/20/96*		12/ /96		12/ /96		12/ /96	

OSP FILE CODE: SP-AG-16

1/2/96



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December, 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of the review we have one comment. We consider this, however, to be an administrative rather than a compatibility comment. Although 180 NAC 012.23, 012.24, and 012.25 are Division 2 compatibility requirements and your rules may be more restrictive, we note that the essential objective of the rules is to provide assurance of radiation protection at a disposal site, rather than a storage or treatment facility. In particular, a storage or treatment facility would presumably be decommissioned and released for unrestricted use after closure and the mechanism of applying sections 012.23 and 012.25 to such facilities seems uncertain.

Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs

Suggested language
if Barents finds
to keep comment:

while this is
not a compatibility
concern,

As such, the regulations appear to apply the provisions in sections 012.23 and 012.25 to storage and treatment facilities. We note that this may cause some confusion in future application of the rule to ~~these facilities~~ given that the provisions in 012.23 and 012.25 would not normally apply to a storage or treatment facility after closure.

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December, 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of the review we have one comment. We consider this, however, to be an administrative rather than a compatibility comment. Although 180 NAC 012.23, 012.24, and 012.25 are Division 2 compatibility requirements and your rules may be more restrictive, we note that the essential objective of the rules is to provide assurance of radiation protection at a disposal site, rather than a storage or treatment facility. In particular, a storage or treatment facility would presumably be decommissioned and released for unrestricted use after closure and the mechanism of applying sections 12.23 and 12.25 to such facilities seems uncertain.

Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs

Enclosures:
As stated

Distribution:
DIR RF (6S-315)
RLBangart
PLarkins
Nebraska File

DCD (SP08)
PLohaus

PDR (YES)
RBlanton

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\RLB\NE96S315.WPD

*See previous concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OSP	C	OSP:D	NMSS	OGC	OSP:D
NAME	RLBlanton:maj		PLohaus	JGreeves	FXCameron	RLBangart
DATE	12/20/96		12/20/96	12/ /96	12/ /96	12/ /96

OSP FILE CODE: SP-AG-16



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 17, 1997

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program
Nebraska Department of Health
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Dear Ms. Rogers:

We have reviewed the proposed amendment to 180 NAC 012.21, dated December 1996. The proposed rule was reviewed by comparison of it and the other affected Nebraska rules to the equivalent NRC regulations in 10 CFR Part 61.

As the result of this review, we have no comment. Please note that under our current procedure, a finding that a rule meets the appropriate compatibility requirements may only be made based on a review of the final text of the rule. However, we have determined that if the proposed rule was adopted without significant change, it would resolve the previously identified compatibility issue.

We request that when the proposed rule is adopted and published as a final rule, a copy of the "as published" rule be provided to us for review. As requested in our All Agreement States Letter SP-96-027, "Request to Highlight Changes to Agreement State Regulations Submitted to NRC for Compatibility Review" (March 1, 1996), please highlight the final changes and send one copy in a computer readable format, if possible.

If you have any questions regarding the comments or any of the NRC rules used in the review, please contact me or Mr. Richard Blanton of my staff at (301) 415-2322.

Sincerely,

Kathleen L. Schneider
Paul H. Lohaus, Deputy Director
Office of State Programs



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

(FYI)

RLB
PAL
SLD
KXS
PML

EXECUTIVE TASK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

<<< PRINT SCREEN UPDATE FORM >>>

TASK # - 6S315

DATE- 12/17/96

MAIL CTRL. - 1996

TASK STARTED - 12/17/96

TASK DUE - 01/09/96

TASK COMPLETED - / /

TASK DESCRIPTION - 12/13/96 - NEBRASKA IMPEP - ISSUE 4.1.2/LEGAL ANALYSIS
AMENDMENT ENCLOSED FOR YOUR COMMENT

REQUESTING OFF. - NE

REQUESTER - C. ROGERS

WITS -

0 FYP - N

PROG.- RLB

PERSON -

STAFF LEAD - RLB

PROG. AREA -

PROJECT STATUS -

OSP DUE DATE: 1/10/96

PLANNED ACC. - N

LEVEL CODE - 1

NEUW

STATE OF NEBRASKA

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
Mark B. Horton, M.D., M.S.P.H.
Director

December 13, 1996



E. Benjamin Nelson
Governor

Richard L. Bangart
Director
Office of State Programs
United States Nuclear
Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

RE: October 16, 1996 IMPEP report

Dear Mr. Bangart:

This is the response to issue 4.1.2 in the October 16, 1996, IMPEP that you requested.

Under Nebraska law all regulations properly adopted and filed with the Nebraska Secretary of State have the effect of statutory law. 180 NAC 1-012.22 was properly adopted and filed, as such it has the effect of law and therefore is a standard and not a goal or design objective. The Department at the time this regulation was adopted did not intend it to apply to storage and treatment facilities that did not also do disposal. It only intended this regulation to apply to those disposal facilities which must be able to demonstrate that concentrations released to the general environment would not exceed the standard.

The state does not currently have any brokers, treatment facilities, or storage facilities to which this regulation has been applied. According to your letter this is a Division 1 compatibility requirement which can only be applied to land disposal facilities. The Department intends to amend the regulation and anticipates this can be done by June 30, 1997. The process has been started and will be expedited as much as possible. A copy of the proposed amendment is enclosed for your comment.

Sincerely,

Teresa M. Hampton
Assistant Agency Counsel

Cheryl Rogers
Program Manager
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Program

Enclosure

cc. Steve Moeller

Title 180
Chapter 180

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

012.21 General Requirement. Management facilities shall be sited, designed, operated, closed, and controlled after closure so that reasonable assurance exists that exposures to individuals are within the requirements established in the performance objectives in 012.22 through 012.25. Subsection 012.22 applies only to a near-surface disposal facility.

012.22 Protection of the General Population from Releases of Radioactivity. Concentrations of radioactive material which may be released to the general environment in ground water, surface water, air, soil, plants, or animals shall not result in an annual dose exceeding an equivalent of 0.25 Msv (25 millirems) to the whole body, 0.75 Msv (75 millirems) to the thyroid, and 0.25 Msv (25 millirems) to any other organ of any member of the public. Reasonable effort should be made to maintain releases of radioactivity in effluents to the general environment as low as is reasonably achievable.

012.23 Protection of Individuals from Inadvertent Intrusion. Design, operation, and closure of the management facility shall ensure protection of any individual inadvertently intruding into the management site and occupying the site or contacting the waste at any time after active institutional controls over the management site are removed.

012.24 Protection of Individuals During Operations. Operations at the management facility shall be conducted in compliance with the standards for radiation protection set out in Section 004 of these regulations, except for releases of radioactivity in effluents from the management facility, which shall be governed by 012.22. Every reasonable effort should be made to maintain radiation exposures as low as is reasonably achievable.

012.25 Stability of the Management Site After Closure. The management facility shall be sited, designed, used, operated, and closed to achieve long-term stability of the management site and to eliminate, to the extent practicable, the need for ongoing active maintenance of the management site following closure so that only surveillance, monitoring, or minor custodial care are required.

TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MANAGEMENT FACILITIES

012.26 Management Site Suitability Requirements.

012.26A Management Site Suitability for Disposal. The primary emphasis in management site suitability is given to isolation of wastes and to management site ~~design~~ that ensure that the long-term performance objectives are met.

012.26A1 The management site shall be capable of being characterized, modeled, analyzed and monitored.

012.26A2 Within the region where the facility is to be located, a management site should be selected so that projected population growth and future developments are not likely to affect the ability of the management facility to meet the performance objectives of this section.

012.26A3 Areas shall be avoided having known natural resources which, if exploited, would result in failure to meet the performance objectives of this section.



E. Benjamin Nelson
Governor


DLP(SPO8)

RLB
PHZ
SCD
KXS
PML

DSP

96 DEC 13

2:23



Mark B. Horton,
M.D.
Director

Nebraska Department of Health
Environmental Health Division
Environmental Health Protection Section
Radioactive Materials Program
301 Centennial Mall South
P.O. Box 95007
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-5007

Phone: 402-471-2168

FAX No.: 402-471-0169

FAX COVER MEMO

DELIVER TO: Richard L. Bangart, Director

Location: Office of State Programs

FAX No.: 301-415-3502

Subject: Copy of Legal Analysis requested in IMPEP Draft Report

SENT BY: Brian Hearty, Manager

Location: Radioactive Materials Program

Total Number of Pages (including cover page): 3

Comments: Original sent by mail.

Date: 12/13/96

Sent by: BPH

SP-AG-16