



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO THE QUALITY ASSURANCE TOPICAL REPORT CE-1-A, REVISION 65C

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

AND

MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY

DRESDEN NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 2 AND 3

QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-237, 50-249, 50-254 AND 50-265

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 16, 1996, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) submitted Revision 65c of their Quality Assurance Topical Report (QATR), CE-1-A. This revision relocated selected portions of the Dresden and Quad Cities technical specifications (TS) to ComEd's QATR, in order to satisfy an administrative commitment related to the TS upgrade program. This commitment was identified in the NRC's letter from John F. Stang (NRC) to Mr. D. L. Farrar (ComEd), issuing Amendment No. 149 to Facility Operating License No. DRP-19 and Amendment No. 143 to Facility Operating License No. DRP-25 for the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, respectively; and Amendment No. 150 to Facility Operating License No. DRP-29 and Amendment No. 166 to Facility Operating License No. DRP-30 for Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, respectively, dated April 2, 1996.

The subject areas that were relocated from the TS to the QATR, Revision 65c, pertain to Sections, 6.2.B, 6.2.C, 6.5.A, and 6.5.B, of the Dresden TS and 6.2.C, 6.2.D, 6.5.A, and 6.5.B, of the Quad Cities TS.

2.0 EVALUATION

1. Relative to Dresden, Units 2 and 3, ComEd has relocated TS Section 6.2.B, "Technical Review and Control," and Section 6.2.C, "Temporary Changes to Procedures," to QATR Section 5, articles 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, respectively. Additionally, TS Sections 6.5.A, and 6.5.B, "Plant Operating Records," have been relocated to QATR Section 17, articles 3.10.1 and 3.10.2, respectively. Based on the staff's review within this area, it was determined that the information previously contained in the identified TS sections was appropriately transferred to the QATR. The future maintenance of these requirements under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) is viewed as providing equivalent controls to those previously contained in the TS.

ENCLOSURE

2. Correspondingly, for Quad Cities, Units 1 and 2, ComEd relocated TS Section 6.2.C, "Technical Review and Control," and Section 6.2.D, "Temporary Changes to Procedures," to QATR Section 5, article 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, respectively. Also, TS Sections 6.5.A and 6.5.B, "Plant Operating Records," were relocated to QATR Section 17, articles 3.10.1 and 3.10.2. Based on the staff's review within this area it was determined that the information previously contained in the identified TS sections was appropriately transferred to the QATR. The future maintenance of these requirements under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) is viewed as providing equivalent controls to those previously contained in the TS.

3. CONCLUSION

The staff has determined that the changes contained in Revision 65c of the QATR, related to the relocation of TS administrative controls, are acceptable in that the programmatic functions related to the technical review and control of procedures and programs, temporary procedure changes, and the retention of plant operating records continue to be effectively administered under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3). The staff also concluded that the changes to the QATR are acceptable in that they conform to the acceptance criteria contained in Section 17.2 of NUREG-0800, the Standard Review Plan.

Principle Contributor: R. Latta

Dated: February 7, 1997