

From: Geoffrey C. Wright (GCW), RTT  
To: CH1:HMS3:TTR:THUB1:TWD2:TWP8:GCP G PANGBURN, NMSS  
Date: Tuesday, January 23, 1996 9:44 am  
Subject: DRAFT OF ACTION LIST FOR AMS ON STRUCTURA

George,

Thanks for the input; however, we need additional help in properly characterizing the inspections results.

It would be very helpful if you, Bell, Shewmaker would provide us with your assessment of the Shewmaker report; what is the bottom line. For example: "After our review we have concluded that the building was exposed to forces beyond its original design and therefore we are concerned about its long term structural integrity. Our review identified ..." OR "Our review identified numerous areas where damage was evident. While most of the individual items identified in the report do not pose a substantial risk to the building or its contents, in the aggregate they indicate that the building has been exposed to lateral forces which it was not designed for. We were unable to fully assess the impact of the specific damage or the lateral loading because our access to all facility areas was limited."

We can then go on to discuss what we believe are appropriate actions for AMS to take.

I'm concerned that the document you sent is written as almost an order - is NMSS prepared to order the facility to perform the activities listed in your attachment? OR - do we need to find a different way of stating the information which results in the same effect.

I appreciate all your help in this matter. We need to continue to work as a team to get this very very significant document to the licensee.

Geoff

CC: JRM4, CDP1

c/9