



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

AUG 12 1985

Mr. A. E. Scherer, Director
Nuclear Licensing
Combustion Engineering, Inc.
1000 Prospect Hill Road
Windsor, Connecticut 06095

Dear Scherer:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT CENPD-255-A
REVISION 3, "CLASS 1E QUALIFICATION - QUALIFICATION OF CLASS 1E
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by Combustion Engineering, Inc. (CE) by letter dated January 20, 1984. We find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the basis for acceptance of the report. Combustion Engineering has addressed the open items discussed in Section 3.3 (Thermal Equivalence Methodology) and Section 3.10 (Unusual Time Margins) of the Safety Evaluation Report sent to you by letter dated September 8, 1983. The staff found the methodology acceptable and considers all open items resolved.

As CENPD-255-A is a generic document and limited to the Combustion Engineering scope of supply, it must be emphasized that all values are listed as "typical," and each referencing applicant must provide actual, plant-specific values and justify those values. Each applicant must also provide detailed methodology that expands on the general program described in NUREG-0800, SRP Section 3.11 and maintain complete, auditable qualification documentation files for each equipment item. In addition, each applicant must verify the completeness of the equipment lists through use of the appropriate interface criteria and the validity of the environmental classifications for each equipment item.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that CE publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

RD-8-2
CE

AUG 13 1985

Mr. A. E. Scherer

- 2 -

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, CE and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

DISTRIBUTION

Central File
SSPB Reading
PDR
DCS
PNoonan
HBernard
HBerkow
RDiggs
CThomas
PMoriette
PShemanski

SSPB:DL
PNoonan:dm
8/9/85

SSPB:DL
HBernard
8/13/85

SSPB:DL
HBerkow
8/13/85

C:SSPB:DL
CThomas
8/13/85

Mr. A. E. Scherer

- 2 -

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, CE and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Cecil O. Thomas

Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated