

From: Joseph E. DeCicco, (JXD1), NMS
To: WJS2 WSLAWINSKI, RTI
Date: Wednesday, May 31, 1995 1:15 pm
Subject: NEORSD Petitions and AMS Inspections

I am trying to correlate NRC inspections at AMS and subsequent NOV's, with supplemental petitions submitted by NEORSD.

1. A 2.206 petition dated September 13, 1994 requested enforcement action against AMS for violation of 20.401(c)(3) (Records of surveys, and disposal); and for violation of 20.303(a) requiring all material discharged to be soluble or dispersible.

It looks like to me that inspection report No. 030-16055/93003 dated 11/7/94 found apparent violations related to the disposal of radioactive material, and that a subsequent Notice of Violation dated 3/15/95 was issued. This to me addresses that petition supplement. Do you agree?

2. A 2.206 petition dated October 13, 1994 requested enforcement action against AMS for violation of 10 CFR 20.2003.

It looks like inspection report No. 030-16055/94003 dated 12/6/94 found apparent violations related to the disposal of radioactive material, but that a Notice of Violation has not been issued as of yet. Is this the case where the samples that NEORSD had could not be located, and we are still trying to close out this "apparent violation" report? Has that letter ever been signed out?

These two petitions were considered supplements to the first petition that was filed on March 3, 1993. I am trying to keep track of them and any resolution they may have, so when the first petition gets answered, the supplements are not lost in the shuffle, but have been addressed and resolved.

Date: January 13, 1995

Call made January 10, 1995 to Tom Lenhart (NEORS) at 3:00 pm local

(214) 881-6600

Information given:

(Petition Manager - Responsible for ensuring that the petitioner is notified at least every 60 days of the status of the petition, or more frequently if significant actions occur.)

1. Concerning the 1st Petition (March 3, 1993, cost recovery and decontamination of the sewer)

a. NRC issued a Confirmatory Action Letter on December 15, 1994

Monitor effluents in their manhole

Provide plan for water effluent

Provide plan 1) to assure structural integrity of building;
2) isolate and remediate the manhole and connector sewer line.

b. Meeting scheduled for January 11, 1995 to discuss the CAL and the inspection results of MKurth and EKulzer dated 6 December 1994.

c. Petition is presently in NRC Counsels management, being elevated to Commission review and proposal submission

2. Second Petition (August 19, 1994) concerns monitors and alarms on sewer line

Investigating the system that existed during the Picker license era, and the level of sensitivity of present day systems available.

This is being addressed in the plan for connector remediation.

First draft is being rewritten.

Mr Lenhart asked:

1. Was I familiar with equipment from a company called BG Technologies concerning an in-line real-time detector system? (Company called January 10 for information)

2. What was the status of a Petition dated September 13, and October 13, 1994 that submitted sampling results by NEORS? (This could have instigated the MKurth Inspection in November and the Report dated December 20, 1994)

12/1

Date: March 10, 1995

Call made March 10, 1995 to Tom Lenhart (NEORSD)((216) 881-6600) at 3:10 pm local

Information given:

(Petition Manager - Responsible for ensuring that the petitioner is notified at least every 60 days of the status of the petition, or more frequently if significant actions occur.)

1. Concerning the 1st Petition (March 3, 1993, cost recovery and decontamination of the sewer)

a. NRC reviewing for approval all proposed actions for the alleviation of the problem of the accumulating water in the basement and surrounding area.

b. Petition is presently in NRC Counsels management, being elevated to Commission review and proposal submission

2. Second Petition (August 19, 1994) concerns monitors and alarms on sewer line

This issue is being addressed in the license renewal by AMS.

Second draft was sent to OGC on February 2, 1995.

Mr Lenhart asked:

To confirm that the September and the October petitions concerning violations were being incorporated into the first petition decision; he was told that they had been incorporated, but also told that there had been inspections and inspection reports generated about subjects of the September and October petitions.

13/2

Date: May 30, 1995

Call made May 30, 1995 to Tom Lenhart (NEORSD)((216) 881-6600) at 2:10 pm our local time.

Information given:

(Petition Manager - Responsible for ensuring that the petitioner is notified at least every 60 days of the status of the petition, or more frequently if significant actions occur.)

1. Concerning the 1st Petition (March 3, 1993, cost recovery and decontamination of the sewer)

a. NRC reviewing for approval all proposed actions for the alleviation of the problem of the accumulating water in the basement and surrounding area.

b. Petition is presently in NRC Counsels management, being elevated to Commission review and proposal submission

c. Decontamination addressed in Amendment No. 32 to AMS license.

2. Second Petition (August 19, 1994) concerns monitors and alarms on sewer line

This issue is being addressed in the license renewal by AMS. The renewal is presently being reviewed by RIII and IMNS.

Second draft was sent to OGC on February 2, 1995

Mr Lenhart did not have any questions at this time.

B13