

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Fermi-2										DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 4 1 1 OF 0 2										PAGE 13	
TITLE (4) Technical Specification Surveillance Not Performed																					
EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)				REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)											
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES					DOCKET NUMBER(S)							
0 7	1 1	8 5	8 5	0 3 7	0 0 0	8 1	2 8	5						0 5 0 0 0							
OPERATING MODE (9)		THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 8: (Check one or more of the following) (11)																			
2		20.402(b)				20.406(e)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)				73.71(b)							
POWER LEVEL (10)		20.406(a)(1)(i)				50.38(a)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)				73.71(e)							
0 9 1		20.406(a)(1)(ii)				50.38(a)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(vi)				OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)							
		20.406(a)(1)(iii)				X 50.73(a)(2)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)											
		20.406(a)(1)(iv)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)											
		20.406(a)(1)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(ix)											
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)																					
NAME L. P. Bregni, Compliance Engineer										TELEPHONE NUMBER											
										AREA CODE											
										3 1 3		5 8 6 - 5 3 1 3									
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)																					
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC											
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)										EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)		MONTH	DAY	YEAR							
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)										XX		NO									
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single space typewritten lines) (16)																					

On July 11, 1985, it was noted that the surveillance channel check for four isolation actuation instruments was inadvertently missed. This is a violation of technical specification surveillance requirements found in Table 4.3.2.1-1. When this was discovered the plant was in Operational Condition 2, and at less than one percent power. The channel check was immediately performed, and the channel was found operable. The surveillance procedure was revised to correct the specific error. A check of the monthly surveillance procedures showed that none of the instruments had required adjustment for the previous two months. Therefore the instruments' safety function had been available as verified by the monthly surveillances..

B508190416 B50812  
PDR ADOCK 05000341  
S PDR

IE22  
1/1

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Fermi-2	0500034185	—	037	—	0002	OF 02

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

On July 11, 1985, a technician noted that the surveillance channel check for four isolation actuation instruments was inadvertently missed. This is a violation of technical specification surveillance requirements found in Table 4.3.2.1-1. The technical specification surveillance requirement for these instruments is to perform a channel check once every twelve hours while in Operational Conditions 1, 2, 3, and when handling irradiated fuel in the secondary containment, during core alterations and operations with a potential for draining the reactor vessel.

When this was discovered the plant was in Operational Condition 2, and at less than one percent power. The plant had been in a condition that required the channel check to be performed since initial criticality on June 21, 1985, and for about a seven week period beginning on March 20, 1985, for initial fuel load. During fuel load, Operational Condition 5, the channel checks had been performed as required.

The subject instruments, trip units B21-N681A,B,C,D, are part of an instrumentation loop that provides isolation actuation to valve groups 2, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17 and 18, and also starts the standby gas treatment system. The actuation occurs at reactor water level 2.

Surveillance procedure 24.000.02, "Shiftly, Daily, Weekly and Situation Required Surveillances", should have specified the shiftly technical specification surveillance requirements for B21-N681A,B,C,D. They had been inadvertently missed in the surveillance procedure. When this was discovered, the channel check was immediately performed, and the channel was found operable. The surveillance procedure was revised to correct the specific error. A review was also done to ensure no other technical specification required surveillances were missing from the procedure.

A monthly channel functional surveillance test for these instruments is also required by technical specification, and they had been performed. These monthly surveillance procedures were checked to determine if any of the instruments had experienced problems with excessive drift, and they had not. None of the instruments had required adjustment for the previous two months. Therefore, the instruments' safety function had been available as verified by the monthly surveillances.

**Detroit  
Edison**

2000 Second Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
(313) 237-8000

August 12, 1985  
NP850023

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attention: Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Reference: Fermi 2  
NRC Docket No. 50-341  
NRC Operating License No. NPF-43

Subject: Transmittal of Licensee  
Event Report 85-037

Please find enclosed LER No. 85-037-00, dated August 12, 1985, for a reportable event which occurred on July 11, 1985. As indicated below, a copy of this LER is being sent to the Region III office.

If you have any questions, please contact us.

Sincerely,



R. S. Lenart  
Plant Manager

Enclosure: NRC Forms 366, 366A

cc: P.M. Byron  
M.D. Lynch

Regional Administrator  
USNRC Region III  
799 Roosevelt Rd.  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Director/Coordinator  
Monroe City-County Office of Civil Preparedness  
965 South Raisinville Road  
Monroe, MI 48161

IE22  
1/1