

12/21/92

Telephone conversation with Duncan White, Region I after inspecting Dr. Bortolot's facility.

He clarified these issues--

License was written wrong--they do not calibrate/their source is not a calibration source. Region I has not yet determined if they will issue a corrected copy, or will correct the license when they work on the renewal

Region I has the wrong pro code (03620--R&D) assigned

LFDCB's fee category is correct for what they do--a type of measurement

In their renewal request they are seeking to add a new device which will require a SS&D custom device review for their own use.

They are delinquent in their annual fees because they disputed the fee category

*Sandy Kimbrey*

NOTE TO NEXT LICENSE REVIEWER FOR LICENSE NO. 06-17253-01 (VICTOR J. BORTOLOTT, PH.D.)

1. Appropriate program code for this license is 03122, measuring systems analytical instruments. Authorized use (Condition 9) must be written appropriately.
2. Licensee has requested additional sources to install into a new device. This device will require a source and device review by HQ. This new device should also be clearly delineated in Condition 9. The licensee's two current devices were grandfathered under 32.210 and do not require any further review.
3. Have the licensee either commit to obtaining a custom source and device review prior to selling a device to another licensee who does not possess a broad scope license or commit to having his customer obtain the source and device review prior to receiving the device. In addition, require the licensee to maintain copies of appropriate records to demonstrate that his clients are properly licensed to use his device.
4. Have the licensee commit or incorporate into the license that the licensee is not authorized to perform any type of service of his equipment at the customer's location. If wishes to work on his equipment at a client location, it must be done under the client license.
5. Licensee will require to possess a survey instrument to comply with Condition No. 15 of his license.
6. The Sr-90 source (Condition 6C) used by the licensee to leak test his other sources is not exempt quantity or generally licensed. At the time of the last inspection (92-001), the licensee was unable to demonstrate to the NRC that it was anything but a specifically licensed.

Questions on the above? Talk to either myself or Sandra Kimberly first regarding this licensee.

*Dan White*  
*12/22/92*

9901310-243

# CONVERSATION RECORD

TIME

DATE

4/14/92

TYPE

☐ VISIT

☐ CONFERENCE

☐ TELEPHONE

☐ INCOMING

☐ OUTGOING

ROUTING

NAME/SYMBOL	INT

Location of Visit/Conference:

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU

DOUG BROOKHUS

ORGANIZATION (Office, dept., bureau, etc.)

NMSS

TELEPHONE NO.

SUBJECT

Clarification of license's authorized use.

SUMMARY

Doug, RI, called Mr. Portolot to clarify their use. They train people to take samples of paintings at different locations. Mr. Portolot then takes the samples back to his location to "date". He does not manufacture - but uses 1 machine which he built.

Doug Brookhus stated the authorized use is considered X-ray fluorescence - and is a type of measurement -- not an irradiation authorization. (Many machines are irradiators, but use is measurement.)

(Detroit Institute of Art applied for a SSPD for the machine which Detroit Institute uses.)

ACTION REQUIRED

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION

SIGNATURE

S. Kimberly  
(3P)

DATE

4/14/92

ACTION TAKEN

SIGNATURE

TITLE

DATE

50271-101

☆ U.S. GPO: 1987-181-247/80121

CONVERSATION RECORD

OPTIONAL FORM 271 (12-76)  
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE