

In Reply Refer To:
CO:II:WDK

April 4, 1972

Georgia Power Company
Attn: Mr. R. E. Scherer
Executive Vice President
270 Peachtree Street, N. W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Gentlemen:

This refers to the meeting conducted by Messrs. Long and Kelley of this office on March 6, 1972, with you and members of your staff regarding the Alvin W. Vogtle Nuclear Units 1 and 2.

The areas discussed included the Compliance inspection program and your quality assurance program for the activities to be conducted under your proposed application as they relate to compliance with the Atomic Energy Commission's rules and regulations and the conditions of your application.

As discussed during the meeting, we request that you provide us with a copy of your quality assurance manual for the Vogtle plant. Your manual should include referenced major contractor quality assurance manuals with appropriate provisions for incorporation of contractor quality assurance/quality control services within the scope of your contracts. To assure that the Compliance reviews of the quality assurance manuals are based on current instructions, we ask that arrangements be made by you to provide our inspector with manual revisions, progress reports, and other related documents which are necessary for use of the manuals. Since our use of the documents is only to facilitate our inspection effort, the documents requested will not be placed in the Public Document Room and will be returned to you when they have served their purpose.

No reply to this letter is necessary; however, should you have any questions concerning the letter, we would be pleased to discuss them with you.

Very truly yours,

Original Sd.
L. G. Davis Original Signed --
L. G. Davis

John G. Davis
Director

CO:II

CO:II

CO:II

WDKelley:kac

FJLong

JGDavis

3/23/72

3/ /72

3/ /72

8508150600 850610
PDR FOIA
LEIGHT084-293 PDR

CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION REPORT

CO Report Nos. 50-Docket Numbers Unassigned/72-1

Georgia Power Company
Alvin W. Vogtle, Units 1 and 2
Hancock Landing, Georgia
License Nos. Pending
Docket Nos. Unassigned

Type of License: FWR (Hwt Mwe Unknown)

Type of Inspection: Introductory Corporate Management and Initial
Quality Assurance Meetings

Date of Inspection: March 6, 1972

Date of Previous Inspection: None

Principal Inspector:

W. D. Kelley, Reactor Inspector
(Construction)

Date

Accompanying Inspector:

F. J. Long, Senior Reactor Inspector
(Construction)

Date

Other Accompanying Personnel: None

Reviewed By:

F. J. Long, Senior Reactor Inspector
(Construction)

Date

Proprietary Information: None

SECTION I

Enforcement Action

None

Licensee Action on Previously Identified Enforcement Matters

There are no previously reported enforcement matters.

Unresolved Items

None

Status of Previously Reported Unresolved Items

There are no previously reported unresolved items.

Unusual Occurrences

None

Management Interview

The introductory corporate management and initial quality assurance meetings was conducted at the GPC corporate office, Atlanta, Georgia, on March 6, 1972, and attended by the following:

Georgia Power Company (GPC)

R. W. Scherer - Executive Vice President
W. E. Ehrensperger - Vice President for Construction/Engineering
R. S. Albright - Chief Civil and Mechanical Engineer
R. J. Kelley - Chief Electrical Engineer
F. G. Mitchell, Jr. - Manager of Construction
L. L. Pitts - Assistant Vice President and Manager of Production
C. C. Jones - Assistant Vice President & Manager of Purchasing & Supplies
R. W. Staffa - Quality Assurance Engineer
W. H. Stephens, Jr. - Mechanical Engineer
R. E. Turner - Chief Generating Plant Electrical Engineer
G. F. Head - Superintendent of Production, Nuclear
R. E. Conway - Superintendent of Generating Plant Construction

Southern Services, Inc. (SSI)

W. B. Reed - Senior Vice President
R. A. Thomas - Vice President
P. E. Ehrensperger - Manager of Advance Generation Planning
L. W. Williams - Manager of Nuclear Projects

Bechtel Corporation (Bechtel)

W. R. Holland - Project Engineer

SECTION II

ADDITIONAL SUBJECTS INSPECTED, NOT IDENTIFIED IN SECTION I, WHERE NO DEFICIENCIES OR UNRESOLVED ITEMS WERE FOUND

1. General

GPC will submit an application for the Alvin W. Vogtle Nuclear Power Plant Units 1 and 2 by mid-April 1972.

The organization of the GPC construction management and engineering onsite will be similar to the E. I. Hatch facility. The plant layout will be a combined effort by Southern Services Inc. and Bechtel Corp.; however, the detail design will be done by Bechtel in their Norwalk, California engineering office. Bechtel will submit their design drawing direct to GPC but they will receive a design review by SSI.

The field construction contractor or contractors have not been selected.

2. Initial Corporate Management Meeting

- a. Long discussed the general policies and practices of Compliance, Region II, as they are implemented in the fulfillment of the obligations imposed by the Code of Federal Regulations.

The Region II organization and the subdivision of responsibilities assigned to each functional unit were discussed. Emphasis was placed on that portion of the discussion dealing with the construction unit inspection and reporting techniques.

- b. Long also discussed the obligation Region II had to the construction permit phase of the licensing procedure. In this regard, the QA audit and reporting technique and the need to make a positive finding in regard to the GPC QA program prior to the issuance of a construction permit were points stressed.
- c. Recent changes in Compliance inspection techniques were enumerated by Long; namely, (1) all inspection normally to be unannounced and, (2) conducting private interviews with lower level employees (crafts and operators). It was pointed out to GPC that the conducting of private interviews with lower level employees was not intended to be the primary inspection tool and would be used judiciously.

3. Introductory QA Meeting

Kelley stated that the forthcoming QA audits would be based on examination of documentation developed in response to the requirements listed in the 18 criteria comprising Appendix B of 10 CFR 50.

Each criterion was discussed from the standpoint of the objective evidence expected to be available for examination. Representative examples of documents, records, and reports were described.