

ENCLOSURE 1

EXAMINATION REPORT - 50-321/OL-85-03

Facility Licensee: Georgia Power Company  
P. O. Box 4545  
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch

Facility Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

Written examinations were reviewed at Plant Hatch near Baxley, Georgia.  
Simulator examination were administrated at Plant Hatch near Baxley, Georgia.

Chief Examiner: *K. E. Brockman* 10/29/85  
K. E. Brockman Date Signed

Approved by: *Bruce A. Wilson* 10/30/85  
Bruce A. Wilson, Section Chief Date Signed

Summary:

Examinations on October 15-17, 1985

Simulator examinations were administered to three operators; one of whom passed.  
Six operators were administered written requalification examinations by the  
utility; NRC review of examination results verified all operators passed.

8511110278 851106  
PDR ADOCK 05000321  
Q PDR

## REPORT DETAILS

### 1. Facility Employees Contacted:

- \*H. C. Nix, General Manager - Hatch
- \*T. V. Greene, Deputy General Manager - Hatch
- \*L. Summer, Operations Supervisor - Hatch
- \*C. T. Moore, Training Manager - Hatch
- \*R. S. Grantham, Operations Training Supervisor

\*Attended Exit Meeting

### 2. NRC Senior Resident Inspector:

P. Holmes-Ray

### 3. Examiners:

- \*K. E. Brockman
- J. F. Munro

\*Chief Examiner

### 4. Examination Review Meeting

At the conclusion of the written examinations, the examiners met with C. T. Moore and R. S. Grantham to review the written examination and answer key.

Review of utility grading was found to be within the guidelines as established in NUREG-1021, ES-601.

### 5. Exit Meeting

At the conclusion of the site visit, the examiners met with representatives of the plant staff to discuss the results of the examination. Those individuals who clearly passed the simulator examination were identified.

There were no generic weaknesses (greater than 75 percent of candidates giving incorrect answers to one examination topic) noted during the simulator examination.

The cooperation given to the examiners was noted and appreciated.

The licensee did not identify as proprietary any of the material provided to or reviewed by the examiners.