



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

LICENSEE RESPONSE TO GENERIC LETTER 83-28, ITEM 3.1.3

POST MAINTENANCE TESTING (REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM COMPONENTS)

TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

AND

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-346

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Subsequent to the anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) events at the Salem Nuclear Power Plant, the Commission reviewed intermediate term actions to be taken by the licensees. The actions taken were developed on the basis of information contained in NUREG-1000, "Generic Implications of ATWS Events at the Salem Nuclear Power Plant." On July 8, 1983, Generic Letter (GL) 83-28 was issued by NRC. The letter identified NRC positions developed from review of the Salem ATWS events. These positions are related to reactor trip system reliability and general management capability.

This Safety Evaluation (SE) addresses the response to Item 3.1.3 contained attached to Toledo Edison Company (licensee) letter of November 7, 1983 (Serial No. 1000). The evaluation was done by EG&G Idaho, Inc. under contract to the NRC. A copy of the EG&G Technical Evaluation Report (TER) is enclosed. While the TER also comments on the licensee response to Item 3.2.3, this SE addresses only Item 3.1.3. Item 3.2.3 will be addressed in a future SE.

2.0 DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

The generic letter stated:

Licensees and applicants shall identify, if applicable, any post-maintenance test requirements in existing Technical Specifications which can be demonstrated to degrade rather than enhance safety. Appropriate changes to these test requirements, with supporting justification, shall be submitted for NRC staff approval.

The licensee stated in the November 7, 1983, response that no post-maintenance test requirements of Reactor Trip System Components have been identified that degrade safety. This statement is a direct response to Item 3.1.3 and is considered acceptable.

3.0 CONCLUSION

The licensee response to Item 3.1.3 of Generic Letter 83-28 is acceptable.