

In Reply Refer To:

Dockets: 50-445/84-34
50-446/84-13

OCT 16 1985

Texas Utilities Generating Company
ATTN: Mr. W. G. Council
Executive Vice President
400 North Olive, L.B. 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Gentlemen:

We have reviewed your letter of January 29, 1985, in response to our letter and Notice of Violation dated December 31, 1984. Your reply adequately addressed the specific concerns raised regarding Item A. We have reviewed the procedure changes delineated in your response and the requirements for sway strut and snubber installation and find them acceptable. The generic aspects of the findings were not adequately addressed in your response to our Notice of Violation, Item A, as required by 10 CFR 2.201; however, we understand that the generic aspects of sway strut and snubber installation will be addressed by the Comanche Peak Response Team (CPRT) Program Plan.

With respect to Item B, we find that you have taken adequate corrective action to assure reporting items to the NRC as required by 10 CFR 50.55(e). We will review the implementation of your corrective actions for Item B during a future inspection to determine that full compliance has been achieved and will be maintained.

However, with regard to Item B.1, specifically, additional information is required because at the time the Notice of Violation was written, the associated nonconformance report (NCR) had been closed without reference made to action being taken to determine how the apparent falsification of records had occurred and to determine if this apparent falsification was an isolated occurrence.

Your additional response should discuss the following matters:

- ° Basis for the statement that the apparent falsification was determined to be an isolated instance;
- ° basis for the statement that the inspection had, in fact, been performed by another inspector;
- ° basis for the statement that corrective measures were taken to prevent recurrence; and

RPB2/B
DMHuntt:gb
10/15/85

RPB2
DRHunter
10/15/85

DRS
RPDenise
10/16/85

NRR
VNoonan
10/10/85
C. Haughney
10/10/85

8510220286 851016
PDR ADOCK 05000445
Q PDR

IED
1/1

- ° measures completed or planned to ensure this apparent falsification was not an attempt to deliberately mislead.

Please provide the additional information requested regarding the apparent falsification of records within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Further, with regard to your response to the Notice of Violation, Item B.2, the fact the design oversight (revision to instrument ranges and setpoints) would likely be detected during subsequent testing or operations, does not alter the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.55(e).

Sincerely,

"Original Signed By:
D. R. HUNTER"

R. P. Denise, Director
Division of Reactor Safety
and Projects

cc:
Texas Utilities Electric Company
ATTN: J. W. Beck, Manager,
Licensing
Skyway Tower
400 North Olive Street
Lock Box 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Texas Radiation Control Program Director

bcc to DMB (IE01)

bcc distrib. by RIV:

- *RPB
- *RRI-OPS
- *RRI-CONST
- *T. F. Westerman, CPTG
- V. Noonan, NRR
- S. Treby, ELD
- *RIV File
- *D. Weiss, LFMB (AR-2015)
- RSB

- *MIS System
- *RSTS Operator
- *R&SPB
- R. Denise, D/DRSP
- R. Martin, RA
- Juanita Ellis, Pres.- CASE
- Renea Hicks, A/Atty General, EP Div.-TX