

September 27, 1985
(NMP2L 0504)

Mr. R. W. Starostecki, Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
Division of Reactor Projects
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Re: Nine Mile Point - Unit 2
Docket No. 50-410

Dear Mr. Starostecki:

Enclosed is a final report in accordance with 10CFR50.55(e) for the problem concerning issuance of trim design details by ITT Grinnell without approved design drawings. This problem was reported via tel-con to J. Linville of your office on March 6, 1985.

Very truly yours,

C. V. Mangan
C. V. Mangan
Senior Vice President

CVM/GG/dmb
(1237H)

xc: Director of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

R. A. Gramm, NRC Senior Resident Inspector

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION
NINE MILE POINT - UNIT 2
DOCKET NO. 50-410

Final Report for a Problem
Concerning Trim Design Details
(55(e)-85-09)

Description of the Problem

During a review of a planner package by ITT Grinnell Quality Assurance and the Authorized Nuclear Inspector, it was discovered that ITT Grinnell Engineering had issued trim design details to Construction without approved design drawings. ITT Grinnell used a standard detail from Specification No. NMP2-P301C which did not apply. Specific details on approved drawings were required by Specification No. NMP2-P301C.

Analysis of Safety Implications

ITT Grinnell Quality Assurance issued Corrective Action Report No. 666 to document the investigation of this problem. ITT Grinnell recalled all of its planner packages and reviewed them to identify those cases where this problem occurred. This review resulted in the issuance of 21 Nonconformance and Disposition Reports related to the use of ITT Grinnell's trim design details. Only 12 Nonconformance and Disposition Reports involved Category I equipment.

Since it was determined that the nonconformances did not jeopardize system or component integrity, all of the 12 Nonconformance and Disposition Reports were dispositioned "accept-as-is". Therefore, if this condition were to have remained uncorrected, it could not have adversely affected the safety of operations of the plant.

Corrective Action

None required.