

DESIGNATED ORIGINAL

SAFETY INSPECTION

Certified By: Brent Platchek

1. LICENSEE

Lee Hospital
Radiology and Pathology Dept.
320 Main Street
Johnstown, PA 15901

2. REGIONAL OFFICE

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-03053

4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)

37-05501-02

5. DATE OF INSPECTION

9/17/85

Licensee:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews, with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The findings as a result of this inspection are as follows:

- ☐ 1. Within the scope of this inspection, no violations were observed.
- ☐ 2. The inspector also verified the steps you have taken to correct the violations identified during the last inspection. We have no further questions on those actions at this time.

- ☒ 3. During this inspection certain of your activities, as checked below, were in violation of NRC requirements.

THIS IS A NOTICE OF VIOLATION which is required to be posted in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

☐ A. _____ was not properly posted to indicate the presence of a _____, 10 CFR 20.203(b), (c), (d), (e) or 34.42.

☐ B. Containers located in _____ were not properly labeled to indicate the presence of radioactive material, 10 CFR 20.203(f)(1), or (f)(2).

☐ C. _____ of sealed sources were not performed at the proper frequencies. 10 CFR _____ License Condition Number _____.

☐ D. Records of _____ were not properly maintained. 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.

☐ E. Documents were not properly posted or otherwise made available. 10 CFR 19.11.

☐ F. Reports or notifications of _____ were not made in accordance with 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.

☒ H. Area survey wipe results not recorded in units of dpm/100 cm², but in cpm with no efficiency factor given - Contrary to Item 17 of application received on 12/27/82.

☒ I. Final source container wipe results not evaluated from cpm to dpm to uci/100 cm² as committed to in Item 4 of application received on 12/27/82. Units are only in cpm with no efficiency factor noted.

☐ K. 8510020399 850917
REG1 LIC30
37-05501-02 PDR

I hereby state that within 30 days the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified in the items checked above. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201. No further response will be submitted unless required by the NRC.

El Cuyand Que Roset
SIGNATURE - LICENSEE

9/17/85
DATE

Michael A. Vercela
SIGNATURE - NRC INSPECTOR

9/17/85
DATE

RETURN ORIGINAL TO
REGION I

IE:07 o/i