

FINAL

SSER

Task: Allegation A-28

Reference Number: 4-83-A-81/2

Characterization: The allegation is that the previous job experience was not verified and that resumes were falsified for QC inspection personnel from Mercury, Peabody, Sline, Tompkins-Beckwith (T-B), Gulf, and Fischbach & Moore (F&M).

Assessment of Allegation: This allegation implies that contractor QC inspection personnel may not have been qualified because of a lack of previous experience, and that this fact may have been covered up by falsification of resumes. This allegation could have safety significance if unqualified personnel performed inspections of safety-related systems.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing inspection personnel packages for Mercury and T-B in line with the recommendations of IE Circular No. 80-22.

The NRC staff had previously determined that 32 of the Mercury QC inspection personnel and 37 of the T-B QC inspection personnel sampled were incorrectly certified due to insufficient previous experience or education. (See Allegation A-02.)

The NRC staff could find no evidence that the previous experience of Mercury and T-B inspectors had been verified. [In addition, the resume of one Mercury QC Level II Technician was determined to be falsified.] 2

This issue appears to have generic implications since the recommendation of IE Circular No. 80-22 were never presented to the contractors by LP&L as a requirement.

Potential Violations:

Contrary to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Waterford FSAR, and ANSI N45.2.6, 1973, inspections were performed by unqualified inspectors incorrectly certified due to an inadequate procedure. 4

Actions Required: See Item No. 1 in the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhower letter of June 13, 1984, to J. M. Cain (LP&L).

References

1. IE Circular No. 80-22
2. LP&L letter W3P83-3704, Re: IE Circular No. 80-22
3. T-B letter to J. B. Gore, dated April 27, 1984, Re: Personnel Screening
4. See data from A-02 for QC personnel certifications.

Statement Prepared By:

R. Westberg

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

SSER

Task: Allegation A-28

Reference Number: 4-83-A-81/2

Characterization: The allegation is that the previous job experience was not verified and that resumes were falsified for QC inspection personnel from Mercury, Peabody, Sline, Tompking-Beckwith (T-B), Gulf, and Fischbach & Moore (F&M).

Assessment of Allegation: This allegation implies that contractor QC inspection personnel may not have been qualified because of a lack of previous experience, and that this fact may have been covered up by falsification of resumes. This allegation could have safety significance if unqualified personnel performed inspections of safety-related systems.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing inspection personnel packages for Mercury and T-B in line with the recommendations of IE Circular No. 80-22.

The NRC staff had previously determined that 32 of the Mercury QC inspection personnel and 37 of the T-B QC inspection personnel sampled were incorrectly certified due to insufficient previous experience or education. *See*

in Allegation A-02

*is this
simply
restating
A-02
Do not
Rebate*

The NRC staff could find no evidence that the previous experience of Mercury and T-B inspectors had been verified. In addition, the resume of one Mercury QC Level II Technician was determined to be falsified. *32*

This issue appears to have generic implications since the recommendation of IE Circular No. 80-22 were never presented to the contractors by LP&L as a requirement.

Potential Violations:

Contrary to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Waterford FSAR, and ANSI N45.2.6, 1973, inspections were performed by unqualified inspectors incorrectly certified due to an inadequate procedure. *4*

Actions Required: See Item No. 1 in the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhower letter of June 13, 1984, to J. M. Cain (LP&L).

References

1. IE Circular No. 80-22
2. LP&L letter W3P83-3704, Re: IE Circular No. 80-22
3. T-B letter to J. B. Gore, dated April 27, 1984, Re: Personnel Screening
4. See data from A-02 for QC personnel certifications.

SSER

Task: Allegation A-28

Reference Number: 4-83-A-81/2

Characterization: The allegation is that the previous job experience was not verified and that resumes were falsified for QC inspection personnel from Mercury, Peabody, Sline, Tompking-Beckwith (T-B), Gulf, and Fischbach & Moore (F&M).

Assessment of Allegation: This allegation implies that contractor QC inspection personnel may not have been qualified because of a lack of previous experience, and that this fact may have been covered up by falsification of resumes. This allegation could have safety significance if unqualified personnel performed inspections of safety-related systems.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing inspection personnel packages for Mercury and T-B in line with the recommendations of IE Circular No. 80-22.

The NRC staff had previously determined that 32 of the Mercury QC inspection personnel and 38 of the T-B QC inspection personnel sampled were incorrectly certified due to insufficient previous experience or education. (See)

Allegation A-02

The NRC staff could find no evidence that the previous experience of Mercury and T-B inspectors had been verified. In addition, the resume of one Mercury QC Level II Technician was determined to be falsified. 32

This issue appears to have generic implications since the recommendation of IE Circular No. 80-22 were never presented to the contractors by LP&L as a requirement.

Potential Violations:

Contrary to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Waterford FSAR, and ANSI N45.2.6, 1973, inspections were performed by unqualified inspectors incorrectly certified due to an inadequate procedure. 4

Actions Required: See Item No. 1 in the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhower letter of June 13, 1984, to J. M. Cain (LP&L).

References

1. IE Circular No. 80-22
2. LP&L letter W3P83-3704, Re: IE Circular No. 80-22
3. T-B letter to J. B. Gore, dated April 27, 1984, Re: Personnel Screening
4. See data from A-02 for QC personnel certifications.

is this
simply
restating
A-02
Do not
restate