

SSER

Task: Allegations A-172, A-174

Reference Nos.: 4-84-A-06/67, 4-84-A-06/69

Characterization: The allegation is that Tompkins-Beckwith (T-B) heat number records may have been falsified.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied safety significance of this allegation is that heat numbers may have been falsified and that the QA documentation may not reflect the actual hardware in the plant.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing Ebasco, LP&L, T-B and Mercury Company Document System Procedures and comparing them with the ASME Code and the applicable ANSI N45.2 requirements. The procedures address authorized changes to QA documents and were found to be adequate. (Also see Allegations A-35, A-308.)

The NRC staff reviewed sample of T-B, Mercury, Ebasco Construction (Force Account) and NISCO turnover documentation packages and found them adequate. The results are detailed in Allegation A-308.

A random sample of heat numbers from these documentation packages were selected and data presented by T-B and Ebasco to the satisfaction of the NRC staff that the heat numbers were valid. The staff performed a walkdown of six T-B installed small bore piping systems to verify the proper heat number identification of these items as installed. The NRC walkdown revealed the heat number identification to be correct.

In conclusion, based on the NRC staff review, no evidence of falsification was detected. This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications. However, it should be noted that the NRC Office of Investigation is also pursuing this issue.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. ASME Code, Section III, NA, NB, NC, ND.
2. ANSI N45.2, "Quality Assurance for Nuclear Power Plants."
3. Allegation A-32.

Statement Prepared By:

Dean Summers

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

SSER

Task: Allegations A-172, A-174

Reference Nos.: 4-84-A-06/67, 4-84-A-06/69

Characterization: The allegation is that Tompkins-Beckwith (T-B) heat number records may have been falsified.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied ~~safety~~ significance of this allegation is that heat numbers may have been falsified and that the (QA) documentation may not reflect the actual hardware in the plant. *quality assurance*

The technical aspects of
The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing ^{APS} Ebasco, LP&L, T-B, and Mercury Company Document System Procedures and comparing them with the ASME Code and the applicable ANSI N45.2 requirements. The procedures address authorized changes to QA documents and were found to be adequate. (Also see Allegations A-35, A-308.)

^a The NRC staff reviewed sample of T-B, Mercury, ^{APS} Ebasco Construction (Force Account), and NISCO turnover documentation packages and found them adequate. The results are detailed in Allegation A-308.

A random sample of heat numbers from these documentation packages were selected and data presented by T-B and Ebasco to the satisfaction of the NRC staff that the heat numbers were valid. The staff performed a walkdown of six T-B installed small bore piping systems to verify the proper heat number identification of these items as installed. The NRC walkdown revealed the heat number identification to be correct.

In conclusion, based on the NRC staff review, no evidence of falsification was detected. This allegation has neither safety significance nor generic implications. ~~However, it should be noted that the NRC Office of Investigation is also pursuing this issue.~~

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. ASME Code, Section III, NA, NB, NC, ND.
2. ANSI N45.2, "Quality Assurance for Nuclear Power Plants."
3. Allegation A-32.

A-172, REV. 1, 6/13/84

RETYPE REV. 2

FINAL

DO NOT REROUTE

→ UAC
6/25

Statement Prepared By:

Dean Summers

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date