

SSER

Task: Allegations A-94 through A-99

Reference No.: 4-83-A-88-4A

Characterization: There are six miscellaneous allegations relating to an unidentified nonconformance report (NCR) dated September 9, 1983, which makes reference to various problems with stainless steel piping and tubing.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance of this allegation is that improper reporting and corrective action of NCRs, missing quality assurance (QA) records, lack of access to necessary documentation and key personnel, and the lack of procedures could cause the QA process to be compromised and place the quality of construction in question.

The NRC staff reviewed these six allegations as follows:

1. Allegation A-94. It was alleged that an individual had on file an unnumbered NCR and complete documentation on how EBASCO would not allow people to report problems. A copy of the NCR was obtained from LP&L and was identified as EBASCO NCR No. W3-6943. This NCR therefore had been properly entered into the EBASCO system. This NCR is also identified on Allegation A-250.
2. Allegation A-95. It was alleged that Attachment 1 of the "unidentified" NCR (W3-6943) states that two heat numbers had been used for three different schedules of pipe tubing. The NCR disposition was to:
(1) "...provide a listing of suspect pipe runs..."; (2) "...QC to take UT measurements on suspected runs..."; and (3) "...evaluate any deficiencies reported by QC..." The purpose of this inspection was to determine if the proper schedule of material had been installed. The results of the UT inspection revealed that schedule 160 piping had been installed in the appropriate systems. This corrective action is acceptable to the NRC staff.
3. Allegation A-96. It was alleged that Mercury Construction Company took a trailer full of documents to their home office. The removal of the documents was contrary to LP&L's commitment to ANSI N 45.2.9, however the NRC staff has determined that these records have now been returned to the site. Mercury provided all records requested by the NRC staff during this review, even though the records system was cumbersome and retrieval was not always timely.
4. Allegation A-97. The allegation is that EBASCO reviewers were not allowed access to heat number records and could not research the extent of the problems. Six EBASCO document reviewers were interviewed and all of them stated they had no problem obtaining access to Mercury records. The site QC supervisor of the EBASCO Verification Group stated emphatically that inspectors working for him physically check tubing for