

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-113, A-135, and A-137

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/8, 30, and 32

Characterization: It is alleged that based on the information presented in an EBASCO memorandum on concrete placement records dated December 9, 1982, a 100 percent review of the concrete placement records should have been started and the problems should have been identified on the nonconformance reports (NCRs). It is further alleged that some items which did not result in NCRs may contain deficiencies reportable to NRC pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55(e).

Initial Assessment of Significance: Based on the current knowledge that a 100 percent review was eventually completed, this item, if found to be valid, may not have any direct impact on safety. It may, however, indicate a reluctance on the part of the management to quickly respond to problems and to determine the root causes of the problem.

Source: Gambit Newspaper article, dated December 10, 1983; Allegor["A"] transcript, pages 31 and 43 to 45.

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review the references memo.
2. Review associated DRs, DN's, and NCRs.
3. Review relevant records on a sample basis.
4. Review items for reportability to the NRC.
5. Conduct any interviews of involved personnel.
6. Evaluate the facts obtained and prepare conclusions.
7. Write SSER and define any needed licensee actions.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao, Civil-Structural

Support: None.

Estimated Resources: 4 man-weeks

Allegation A-113

- 2 -

Estimated Completion: May 25, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:

SSER X A-113

FINAL

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

Document Name:
A-113

Requestor's ID:
JOHNNIE

Author's Name:
SHEWMAKER/jkh

Document Comments:



WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-113, A-135, and A-137

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/8, 30, and 32

Characterization: It is alleged that based on the information presented in an EBASCO memorandum on concrete placement records dated December 9, 1982, a 100 percent review of the concrete placement records should have been started and the problems should have been identified on the nonconformance reports (NCRs). It is further alleged that some items which did not result in NCRs may contain deficiencies reportable to NRC pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55(e).

Initial Assessment of Significance: Based on the current knowledge that a 100 percent review was eventually completed, this item, if found to be valid, may not have any direct impact on safety. It may, however, indicate a reluctance on the part of the management to quickly respond to problems and to determine the root causes of the problem.

Source: Gambit Newspaper article, dated December 10, 1983; Allegor^[A] transcript, pages 31 and 43 to 45.

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review the references^d memo.
2. Review associated DRs, DN's, and NCRs.
3. Review relevant records on a sample basis.
4. Review items for reportability to the NRC.
5. Conduct any interviews of involved personnel.
6. Evaluate the facts obtained and prepare conclusions.
7. Write SSER and define any needed licensee actions.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao, Civil-Structural

Support: None.

Estimated Resources: 4 man-weeks

Allegation A-113

- 2 -

Estimated Completion: May 25, 1984

CLOSURE: