

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: *A-269; A-112; A-113; A-129; A-131; A-135; A-137; A-158; A-272

Reference Number: 4-84-A-06/151; 7, 8, 24, 26, 30, 32, 53, 154

Characterization: The allegation is that a review of concrete records was cancelled because during the partial review, deficiencies were found that rendered the validity of the records indeterminate and in violation of federal regulations.

Initial Assessment of Significance:

Source: Gambit Article dated December 10, 1983; Individual ["A"]; Individual ["C"].

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contents of letter and attachments.
2. Make detailed review of referenced NCRs.
3. Contact and interview involved personnel.
4. The underlying subject is a complete and comprehensive review of the remaining record. Allegor["A"] states that only 20-25% have been completed.
5. Review the soils record in the manner of the shell filter blanket (NCR W3-5997).
6. Review generic aspects of personnel certification/qualification, falsification of records, impact of missing records, etc.
7. Evaluate findings.
8. Write SSER input.

Status: Inspection of these issues was essentially complete prior to April 2, 1984. Documentation of these tasks will be completed as part of the overall Waterford 3 Task Force effort. Certain generic aspects may need additional review.

Review Lead: W. A. Crossman

(Specific items)

Support: Coordination of civil/structural QA is needed to close the issue. (QA to cover generic aspects).

Estimate Resources: 2 man months (not including generic implications.)
(Specifics 90% complete)

Estimated Completion: April 13, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
X A-269

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: *A-269; A-112; A-113; A-129; A-131; A-135; A-137; A-158; A-272

Reference Number: 4-84-A-06/151; 7, 8, 24, 26, 30, 32, 53, 154

Characterization: The allegation is that a review of concrete records was cancelled because during the partial review, deficiencies were found that rendered the validity of the records indeterminate and in violation of federal regulations.

Initial Assessment of Significance:

Source: Gambit Article dated December 10, 1983; Individual ["A"]; Individual ["C"].

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contents of letter and attachments.
2. Make detailed review of referenced NCRs.
3. Contact and interview involved personnel.
4. The underlying subject is a complete and comprehensive review of the remaining record. Allegor ["A"] states that only 20-25% have been completed.
5. Review the soils record in the manner of the shell filter blanket (NCR W3-5997).
6. Review generic aspects of personnel certification/qualification, falsification of records, impact of missing records, etc.
7. Evaluate findings.
8. Write SSER input.

Status: Inspection of these issues was essentially complete prior to April 2, 1984. Documentation of these tasks will be completed as part of the overall Waterford 3 Task Force effort. Certain generic aspects may need additional review.

Review Lead: W. A. Crossman

(Specific items)

Support: Coordination of civil/structural QA is needed to close the issue. (QA to cover generic aspects).

Estimate Resources: 2 man months (not including generic implications.)
(Specifics 90% complete)

Estimated Completion: April 13, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
X A-269

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: *A-269; A-112; A-113; A-129; A-131; A-135; A-137; A-158; A-272

Reference Number: 4-84-A-06/151; 7, 8, 24, 26, 30, 32, 53, 154

Characterization: The allegation is that a review of concrete records was cancelled because during the partial review, deficiencies were found that rendered the validity of the records indeterminate and in violation of federal regulations.

Initial Assessment of Significance:

Source: Gambit Article dated December 10, 1983; Individual "A"; Individual "C".

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review contents of letter and attachments.
2. Make detailed review of referenced NCRs.
3. Contact and interview involved personnel.
4. The underlying subject is a complete and comprehensive review of the remaining record. Allegor "A" states that only 20-25% have been completed.
5. Review the soils record in the manner of the shell filter blanket (NCR W3-5997).
6. Review generic aspects of personnel certification/qualification, falsification of records, impact of missing records, etc.
7. Evaluate findings.
8. Write SSER input.

Status: Inspection of these issues was essentially complete prior to April 2, 1984. Documentation of these tasks will be completed as part of the overall Waterford 3 Task Force effort. Certain generic aspects may need additional review.

Review Lead: W. A. Crossman

(Specific items)

Support: Coordination of civil/structural QA is needed to close the issue. (QA to cover generic aspects).

Delete - Replace with
attached Open Issue

Estimate Resources: 2 man months (not including generic implications.)
(Specifics 90% complete)

Estimated Completion: April 13, 1984

CLOSURE:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-112, A-131, A-269

Ref. No.: 4-84-A-0647, 26 and 151

Characterization: It is alleged that certain J.A. Jones Construction Company concrete placement packages were incomplete, inspectors were not certified to inspect concrete placements, placement packages indicated a failure to implement specifications and procedures, and that records in the placement packages had been tampered with.

The aggregate of these allegations could, if valid, have some impact on the assessment of the capability of the concrete structures to perform their intended function. If the significance of problems found during the ^{sample} review is high enough, it may be necessary to conduct a more detailed review of all concrete placement record packages.

Source: ~~Alleg~~ GAMBIT Newspaper Article dated December 10, 1983
Alleger [A], transcript pages 9 and 10, and Alleger [C], page 39.

Approach to Resolution:

2. Make a detailed review of NCRs: 3165, 7302, 7313, 7315, 7353, 7356, 7357, 7358, 7395, 7398, 7399, 7423, 7425, 7434 and 7435.
3. Review appropriate sample concrete placement packages for conformance to requirements. This should include 765-1-35, 570-503-30 and 31, 593-501-U7, 558-2-31 and 503-9 and 10.
4. Review the appropriate specifications and procedures
5. Contact and interview involved personnel.
6. Review personnel education, experience and training requirements and certifications against requirements.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao, Civil-Structural

Support: None

Estimate Resources: 5 man weeks

Estimated Completion: May 25, 1984

CLOSURE:

6. Be aware of indicators of falsified documents
7. Evaluate the facts obtained from the above review items
8. Prepared SSER.