

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-59

Ref. No.: 4-83-A-88/11

Characterization: Control of nonconforming conditions; no hold tags placed on items in field by Ebasco.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Very vague allegation, implies incorrect identification of a nonconforming item (tagging).

Source: Unknown allegation

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review and determine scope of the problem. Did Ebasco not place hold tags? Did Ebasco not replace Mercury hold tags?
2. Review hold tag logs.
3. Review hold/NCR program.
4. Evaluate for generic/safety implications.
5. Report results of review/evaluation of allegation.

Status: In progress - Team 2 (Fox/Whittemore/Morgan)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support:

Estimated Resources: ½ man day (10 man days - total)

Estimated Completion: 5/3/84

CLOSURE:

8510010537 840613  
PDR ADOCK 05000382  
E PDR

Document Name:

A-59

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

Document Name:

A-59

Requestor's ID:

CONNIE

file  
6/14

Author's Name:

Document Comments:

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-59

Ref. No.: 4-83-A-88/11

Characterization: Control of nonconforming conditions; no hold tags placed on items in field by Ebasco.

Initial Assessment of Significance: Very vague allegation, implies incorrect identification of a nonconforming item (tagging).

Source: Unknown allegation

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review and determine scope of the problem. Did Ebasco not place hold tags? Did Ebasco not replace Mercury hold tags?
2. Review hold tag logs.
3. Review hold/NCR program.
4. Evaluate for generic/safety implications.
5. Report results of review/evaluation of allegation.

Status: In progress - Team 2 (Fox/Whittemore/Morgan)

Review Lead: J. Harrison

Support:

Estimated Resources: ½ man day (10 man days - total)

Estimated Completion: 5/3/84

CLOSURE: