

SSER

Task: Allegation A-294

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/175

Characterization: The allegation is that the personnel used by EBASCO to perform documentation reviews were not qualified to adequately perform record reviews in the short period they were given.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied safety significance is that if the Ebasco record reviewers were not qualified to perform the record reviews in the short period they were given, the quality of records review for the applicable safety-related systems may be indeterminant.

The NRC staff determined that the Ebasco procedure for review of records required record reviewers to have previous experience in quality documentation and to complete on-the-job training (OJT). The alleger gave a specific person to contact and the specific time period for this allegation was April to July of 1983.

The NRC staff randomly selected 24 records reviewers who had completed OJT in the time span specified. The person specified was not contacted in order to assure an unbiased sample. A review of their resumes indicated that all had previous experience in quality assurance, or engineering, or design. The staff also reviewed Ebasco indoctrination and training records for the reviewers and found that all had completed the required training and OJT required by Ebasco procedures. Previous reviews of allegations regarding documentation have not indicated any problems caused by unqualified record reviewers (See Allegation A-35).

There are no specific training, qualification, or certification requirements in NRC Regulations, the ASME Code, or ANSI Standards for document reviewers.

Regarding the adequacy of reviews "in a short period" of time, the NRCs review of QA documentation revealed these documents had been reviewed adequately and were acceptable. Also see Allegations A-35, A-183(a), A-197, A-223, and A-230.

The NRC staff finds no evidence supporting the allegation of inadequate documentation reviews by unqualified personnel, and believes the Ebasco training program was adequate. This allegation has no safety significance or generic implications and is not indicative of any or management problems.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Ebasco Doc. Review Training Matrix.
2. Qualification Review Data.

Statement Prepared By:

R. Westberg

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:

SSER A-294

Requestor's ID:

JOYCE

Final

Author's Name:

R. Westberg

Document Comments:

SSER

Task: Allegation A-294

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06/175

Characterization: The allegation is that the personnel used by EBASCO to perform documentation reviews were not qualified to adequately perform record reviews in the short period they were given. *resumes of*

Assessment of Allegation: The implied safety significance is that if the Ebasco record reviewers were not qualified to perform the record reviews in the short period they were given, the quality of records review for the applicable safety-related systems may be indeterminant.

The NRC staff determined that the Ebasco procedure for review of records required record reviewers to have previous experience in quality documentation and to complete on-the-job training (OJT). The alleger gave a specific person to contact and the specific time period for this allegation was April to July of 1983.

Following a discussion with the [specific person]

*The NRC staff ~~randomly~~ selected 24 records reviewers who had completed OJT in the time span specified. ~~The person specified was not contacted in order to assure an unbiased sample.~~ A review of their resumes indicated that all had previous experience in quality assurance, or engineering, or design. The staff also reviewed Ebasco indoctrination and training records for the reviewers and found that all had completed the required training and OJT required by Ebasco procedures. Previous reviews of allegations regarding documentation have not indicated any problems caused by unqualified record reviewers (See Allegation A-35).

There are no specific training, qualification, or certification requirements in NRC Regulations, the ASME Code, or ANSI Standards for document reviewers.

Regarding the adequacy of reviews "in a short period" of time, the NRCs review of QA documentation revealed these documents had been reviewed adequately and were acceptable. Also see Allegations A-35, A-183(a), A-197, A-223, and A-230.

The NRC staff finds no evidence supporting the allegation of inadequate documentation reviews by unqualified personnel, and believes the Ebasco training program was adequate. This allegation has no safety significance or generic implications and is not indicative of any or management problems.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

1. Ebasco Doc. Review Training Matrix.
2. Qualification Review Data.

A-294

- 2 -

Statement Prepared By:

R. Westberg

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

Document Name:
SSER A-294

Requestor's ID:
CONNIE

Author's Name:
R. Westberg

Document Comments:

OK
C
8/28