

WATERFORD OPEN ISSUE

Task: A-298

Reference No.: 4-84-A-06-178b

Characterization: It is alleged that the EBASCO vendor reviews are inadequate and that quality control (QC) was not checking structural items for defects such as shop welds by Peden Steel.

Initial Assessment of Significance: This may be of safety significance if the QA program and the QC inspection effort have not identified any such problems and they are later revealed. This may indicate, if the allegation is true, that the EBASCO first line vendor inspection is inadequate although someone did apparently finally identify this problem.

Source: Alleger["B,"] transcript pages 58 and 59

Approach to Resolution:

1. Review the EBASCO procedures for vendor inspections.
2. Select a sample of items which underwent vendor inspection and determine if defects are still present which have not been previously identified.
3. Review any relevant correspondence and deficiencies.
4. Evaluate the resulting facts and provide conclusions.
5. Prepare the SSER.

Status:

Review Lead: L. Shao, Civil-Structural

Support: None.

Estimated Resources: 3 man-days

Estimated Completion: May 25, 1984

CLOSURE:

Document Name:
A-298

Requestor's ID:
JOHNNIE

Author's Name:
SHEWMAKER/jkh

Document Comments:
Waterford Open Issue - A-298