

SSER

*Voided As Lacked  
Content*

Task: Allegations A-217, A-218, A-219

Reference Nos.: 4-84-A-06/105, 106, 107

Characterization: The allegation is that a Mercury Quality Assurance (QA) Manager tried to get a Mercury employee fired because the employee was alleging that Mercury QA did not follow procedures.

Assessment of Allegation: The implied significance is that, without proper *intimidation* adherence to QA procedures, the installation and inspection processes may not have been completed properly. *of employees whilst in process*

At the time of the review by the allegor, the allegation may have been factual. The events that followed are described in Allegation A-185 in which a joint Mercury-Ebasco records review was evaluated.

The NRC staff concludes that this allegation has no safety significance and is not indicative of any generic implications or management problems. The NRC Office of Investigations is pursuing charges of employee intimidation as a separate issue.

Potential Violations: None.

Actions Required: None.

References

Statement Prepared By:

J. D. Stearns

Date

Reviewed By:

Team Leader

Date

Reviewed By:

Site Team Leader(s)

Date

Approved By:

Task Management

Date

8510010438 840613  
PDR ADOCK 05000382  
E PDR

Document Name:  
SSER X A-217

Requestor's ID:  
STCINDY

OI issues

Author's Name:  
J. D. Stearns

Document Comments:  
A-218, A-219

- 6/21
- Why do we have an SSER on this subject?
  - Is OI aware of these specifics. My records do not indicate that OI is working on A, 217, 218 & 219.
  - I can't see how a conclusion was reached.

Agree

- 6/22
- J. Do you agree that <sup>These are</sup> ~~this is~~ an OI issues and that this SSER doesn't contain enough information to form a conclusion one way or the other?

See

I agreed / ~~non~~ non technical issue for OI