

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) D. C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 6	PAGE (3) 1 OF 0 1
---	--------------------------------------	----------------------

TITLE (4)

FAILURE TO MAINTAIN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REQUIRED SAMPLING INTERVAL

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)
0	8	2	5	8	5	0	2	2	0	0	0
0	8	2	5	8	5	0	2	2	0	0	0

OPERATING MODE (9) 5		THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 8. (Check one or more of the following) (11)									
POWER LEVEL (10) 0 0 0		20.402(b)		20.405(c)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)			
		20.405(a)(1)(i)		50.36(c)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)			
		20.405(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(c)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)		OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text NRC Form 366A)			
		20.405(a)(1)(iii)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)					
		20.405(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)					
		20.405(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(ix)					

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)								TELEPHONE NUMBER			
NAME A. A. BLIND - ASSISTANT PLANT MANAGER								AREA CODE			
								6 1 6 4 6 5 - 5 9 0 1			

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)											
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC	CAUSE	SYSTEM

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)		EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<input type="checkbox"/> YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO				

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single space typewritten lines) (16)

ON AUGUST 25, 1985, WITH UNIT 2 IN MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) A GRAB SAMPLE OF THE ESSENTIAL SERVICE WATER (ESW) SYSTEM, REQUIRED TO BE OBTAINED NO LATER THAN 1600 HOURS, WAS NOT COLLECTED UNTIL 1800 HOURS (CONTRARY TO THE 8 HOUR SAMPLING INTERVAL STATED IN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.3.3.9, TABLE 3.3-12, ACTION ITEM 25).

THE SAMPLE WAS NECESSARY DUE TO THE INOPERABILITY OF A PROCESS RADIATION MONITOR (R-28). THE MONITOR HAD BEEN REMOVED FROM SERVICE FOR REPAIRS ON AUGUST 3, 1985, AND A SAMPLING SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED TO MEET TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE, HOWEVER, THE TECHNICIAN RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE SAMPLE BECAME INVOLVED IN OTHER ACTIVITIES AND FORGOT TO COLLECT/PROCESS THE SAMPLE AS REQUIRED.

THE RESULTS OF THE 1800 HOUR SAMPLE WERE NORMAL. A REVIEW OF PLANT ACTIVITIES FROM 0800 HOURS TO 1800 HOURS FAILED TO IDENTIFY ANY OCCURRENCE OR CONDITION THAT COULD HAVE SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASED THE RADIOACTIVITY OF THE ESW SYSTEM.

APPROPRIATE DISCIPLINARY ACTION WAS TAKEN CONCERNING THE INDIVIDUAL INVOLVED.

PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES OF A SIMILAR NATURE WERE REPORTED IN LERS: 50-316/85-12, 50-315/84-23, 50-315/84-26, AND 50-315/84-29.

8510010129 850924
PDR ADOCK 05000316
S PDR