

50-219
50-289



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 11, 1996

Mr. T. Gary Broughton, President and Chief
Executive Officer
GPU Nuclear Corporation
1 Upper Pond Road
Parsippany, NJ 07054

SUBJECT: DRAFT NUREG-1560, "INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION PROGRAM:
PERSPECTIVES ON REACTOR SAFETY AND PLANT PERFORMANCE, SUMMARY
REPORT," - REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Dear Mr. Broughton:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a draft of "Individual Plant Examination Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance, Summary Report," NUREG-1560. Draft NUREG-1560 is comprised of two volumes. Volume 1 (Part 1) provides an overall summary of the key perspectives. Volume 2 (Parts 2 through 5) provides a more in-depth discussion of the perspectives summarized in Part 1. This report summarizes the insights and findings from our review of the Individual Plant Examinations (IPEs) submitted to the NRC in response to Generic Letter 88-20. A copy of this draft NUREG was recently mailed to your organization for review and comment. The distribution to your organization included: the Plant Managers of the nuclear plants for which you are responsible, the licensee-identified contacts at those plants, and a nuclear executive. Notification of the availability of the NUREG for public comment was also published in the Federal Register on November 14, 1996 (61 FR 58249).

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your personal attention the availability of this report. We would appreciate any comments you may have as discussed below; however, any response to this letter is voluntary. If you require an additional copy of the report, you may request one by writing to Distribution Services, Printing, Graphics, and Mail Services Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Draft NUREG-1560 is based entirely on docketed information submitted to the NRC in conjunction with the IPE program. NUREG-1560 is published as a draft for comment, partly because the staff recognizes that most licensees have updated their IPEs and have made hardware and procedural/operational modifications that have not been reflected in the docketed information. As part of any comments on Part 1, updated information regarding your IPE(s) would be appreciated.

Chapter 8 of Volume 1 of NUREG-1560 discusses the potential need for followup regulatory activities by the NRC based on IPE insights. Comments on those activities would also be helpful.

Draft
1/0

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

230049

9612230193 961211
PDR ADOCK 05000219
P PDR

Mr. Gary T. Broughton

- 2 -

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,



Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-219/50-289

cc: See next page

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

cc:

Michael Ross
Director, O&M, TMI
GPU Nuclear Corporation
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057

John C. Fornicola
Director, Planning and
Regulatory Affairs
GPU Nuclear Corporation
100 Interpace Parkway
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Jack S. Wetmore
Manager, TMI Regulatory Affairs
GPU Nuclear Corporation
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20037

Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
of Dauphin County
Dauphin County Courthouse
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Chairman
Board of Supervisors
of Londonderry Township
R.D. #1, Geyers Church Road
Middletown, PA 17057

Michele G. Evans
Senior Resident Inspector (TMI-1)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 311
Middletown, PA 17057

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Robert B. Borsum
B&W Nuclear Technologies
Suite 525
1700 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

William Dornsife, Acting Director
Bureau of Radiation Protection
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Resources
P.O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Mr. James Knubel, Vice President
and Director - TMI-1
GPU Nuclear Corporation
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057

BWR Licensing Manager
GPU Nuclear Corporation
1 Upper Pond Road
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Mayor
Lacey Township
818 West Lacey Road
Forked River, NJ 08731

Licensing Manager
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Mail Stop: Site Emergency Bldg.
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

Resident Inspector
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 445
Forked River, NJ 08731

Kent Tosch, Chief
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection
Bureau of Nuclear Engineering
CN 415
Trenton, NJ 08625

DISTRIBUTION FOR OYSTER CREEK/TMI-1 NUREG-1560 LETTER DATED: December 11, 1996
Docket File (50-219/50-289)

PUBLIC

PD1-3 Reading

SVarga

JZwolinski

JStolz

CJamerson

EDunnington

MCunningham

MDrouin

JNorris

REaton

RHernan

OGC

ACRS

PEselgroth, RI

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed By)

Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos.: 50-219/50-289

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION - See attached list

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\EATON\GPUPE.LTR

*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	*PD113/PM	C	PM/PD	C	LA/PD31	C	*RES/PRAB	C	PD/PD	C
NAME	RHernan		JNorris/REaton		CJamerson		MCunningham		JStolz	
DATE	11/12/96		12/6/96		12/6/96		11/12/96		12/6/96	
OFFICE	DRPE:D		ADP *		NRR:D					
NAME	SVarga		RZimmerman		FMiraglia					
DATE	12/1/96		12/22/96		12/1/96		12/ /96		12/ /96	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY