



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I
631 PARK AVENUE
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406
U.S. NRC

AUG 20 1984

1984 AUG 21 AM 7:56

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS
FIELD OFFICE, REGION I

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. Keith Christopher, Director, Office of Investigations
Field Office, Region I

FROM: Thomas E. Murley, Regional Administrator

SUBJECT: MULTIPLE ALLEGATION 84-A-0100

The subject multiple allegation was reviewed and discussed during a routine allegation panel meeting on August 7, 1984. It was determined that Mr. Ebnetter, DETP, will be directing the technical investigation beginning on August 13, 1984 at the Seabrook site. This inspection by DETP will not include interviews with QA/QC personnel regarding possible intimidation. However, I am requesting that you conduct an interview with the alleged to first determine if further investigation into intimidation of QA/QC personnel is warranted. If you have any questions concerning this request, please contact me.

TE Murley
Thomas E. Murley
Regional Administrator

cc:
Allegation File RI-84-A-0100
R. Gallo
S. Ebnetter
T. Martin

8509300239 850916
PDR FOIA
GROSSBE85-560 PDR

CONVERSATION RECORD

TIME

12:00

DATE

7/15/81

TYPE

☐ VISIT

☐ CONFERENCE

☒ TELEPHONE

☒ INCOMING

☐ OUTGOING

81 ROUTING

NAME/SYMBOL

INT

Location of Visit/Conference:

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU

ORGANIZATION (Office, dept., bureau, etc.)

TELEPHONE NO.

ANONYMOUS
SUBJECT

Seabrook ALLEGATIONS

SUMMARY

anonymous caller called regarding allegations at Seabrook. He provided no additional information and is considering talking to it. He will call back on Friday and advise us if he will talk to us. Allegor was advised of confidential program and was also advised that we would be willing to interview him without him.

ACTION REQUIRED

await Friday call

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION

RK CHRISTOPHER

SIGNATURE

RK Christopher

DATE

12:25

ACTION TAKEN

2

SIGNATURE

TITLE

DATE

INVESTIGATION STATUS RECORD

ACTIONS: This form is to be completed whenever significant activity has occurred relative to a case or at least every 30 days. If no change has occurred during the 30 day reporting period, indicate "No Change" in the status block. Keep the original with the case file and send one copy to Headquarters, Office of Investigations.

CASE NUMBER Q-1-84-020	CATEGORY		OFFICE 01:RI
	<input type="checkbox"/> D - OPERATING REACTOR	<input type="checkbox"/> I - INDIVIDUAL LICENSEE	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C - REACTOR UNDER CONSTRUCTION	<input type="checkbox"/> M - MATERIALS/FUEL	
	<input type="checkbox"/> V - VENDOR	<input type="checkbox"/> X - OTHER	
ASSIGNED TO		SUBJECT SEABROOK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION/IMPROPRIETIES IN THE PULLMAN HIGGINS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	
STATUS (Specify date, and provide a brief description)			

August 10, 1984: An inquiry has been initiated at the request of the Regional Administrator to determine if there is a basis for an investigation into allegations that Pullman Higgins Quality Assurance Inspectors were being ordered not to perform inspections of unacceptable welding. Estimated completion date of preliminary inquiry is September 1, 1984. *RKC*

August 31, 1984: Allegor interviewed, field work pending.

September 28, 1984: No Change.

November 2, 1984: Review of allegers technical concerns satisfactorily completed and documented. One additional OI interview required prior to closure of investigation.

November 20, 1984: CLOSED

CHRONOLOGICAL RECORD OF INQUIRY OR INVESTIGATION

CONTROL NO: Q-1-84-020

OPENED: AUG. 10, 1984

CLOSED:

SUBJECT: SEABROOK

DATE	TIME	ACTIVITY
8-2-84		contact with Commissioner's Office - Re. Identity of alleged
8-7-84		called Reporter at Boston Globe
8-13-84		called reporter at Boston Globe he will get back to us on a Policy decision as to whether or not City will put us in contact with the individual
8-13-84		Ross Gillespie called. Will attempt to get alleged to call us or will contact of R.S. status
8-14-84		Ross Gillespie advised alleged will call today at noon.
8-14-84		alleged called will advise on Friday noon if he will talk
8-17-84	11:55	alleged called said he would not talk in person but would talk and answer questions over the phone was agreed to a call at 0900 on Friday Aug. 24, 1984

11-6-84

DO NOT DISCLOSE telephone interview of Bartlett

This document may contain information exempt from public disclosure.

CONTROL NO: Q-1-84-020

OPENED: Aug. 10, 1984

CLOSED: 11-20-84

SUBJECT: SEABROCK/ IMPROPRIETIES IN THE PULLMAN HIGGINS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

DATE	TIME	ACTIVITY
8-24-84	0900	telephone interview of "Barnd Day"
1-6-84		telephone interview of Douglas Barker.
11-20-84		closed

DO NOT DISCLOSE

This document may contain information exempt from public disclosure.

Commence - 9:00 AM

8/24/84

Telephone interview of "David Day"

carpenter on site from Aug 1978 to Sep. 1980
Pipefitter - Oct 1980 - June 1983
- pipefitter welder - pipe welder and day ad
bitting

time frame of work covered from Aug 1981 to
his termination (resigned)

Pullman - Haggard - no arrangement was
when he ~~was~~ began to get involved
in problems. Concern are spreading with
Pullman Haggard, some concern with
ANT and Yankee County Assn
Inspectors

areas he worked in included - containment,
waste storage, early town, Deepford,
Generals, PAB, and other others.

Blunth also series of technical questions
concerning locations of piping operations
of concern.

Grounding down of pipe - very ill at trade
management and J.C. ~~the~~ Company supervisor
was in the site area. Pullman Haggard
man steen Feed Area. QA - John Martin
(Pullman was also aware of the materials)

8/23/84

John Martin was aware of it because it was a hot point requiring. Fitzup and Jack Martin was already aware of.

CBS - Centumet Buley Spray System
Martin ~~of~~ added inspection and he commented that the fit up would not pass - Ken Welsh and ~~the~~ Gene Lauffe (present on trade inspection) Mike Scowen was also aware - because the mismatch was so great it would not pass - no one specifically checked him to find it out that the philosophy they worked under. After he is concerned that the mismatch with thickness was checked after grinding the weld was X-rayed ~~and~~ but not U.T'd.

Can T give any names of welders to copper his contact never raised the issue with management. ~~not~~ was not intimidated or shaken in this area.

P. 2 of letter: Another question ~~location~~ on location re. R Coolidge line (1st Pass) no OI question)

P. 2. Para. 2 - question stem generator pairs - no OI question in this area.

P. 2 Para 3 - see question sheet

ref ^{to} P. 3. Clark instructed him not to inspect further welds. all given as satisfactory welds and no Baker inspection. ~~P. 2~~ Dennis

Clark said they were really cut too many
welds. I believe the area supervisor
told Dennis Clark to get them out of
there. Clark would not be doing
Don Evans is the area supervisor. Evans
made the comments about having 100 suspect
welds. Baker was transferred out
because he was seeing too many
welds to be cut. Belows he
is (Baker) was the subject of interest
because he was transferred to second shift
when he did not want to work second
shift. Baker did not tell him he
was by shafts, this was his own
personal observation.

He (Ray) heard Evans make the
comment about the suspect welds
people who knew him coming with the
Repy engineer (P) Dennis Clark,
Ray Walsh (Gen Foreman)
with Evans a man for. P & transferred
Baker. To his knowledge none even went
back in there. This all occurred in

November 1981 to Jan 1982. - The
as far as he knows all the 100 welds was
supposed to be inspected. no actual inspection
the 100 suspect welds may have been inspected
once or twice in the final final, was checked
but they didn't get to see the inside of the
Pipe. but no actual inspection

unknown. Doug Baker is still on site
would probably need to interview Doug
Baker to explain or represent the inspection
process.

not aware of any areas of falsification
and a threat of intimidation in the
Portside Area. However in May 1983 in the line
boundary on a structural ~~line~~ ~~line~~
& not aware of falsification - ~~intimidation~~
but intimidation believed. Baker was
intimidated. Rev Don Giesy and
Baker would be the best to talk to.

P. 3. Cold Spring Spring - Electric question
Dave Rogers, Spring Engineer - Cold Spring
~~13. 100. 1000 info.~~

P. 3 Para 2 - Draw welding.

Bruce Kennedy, a ~~Person~~ P.H. G.C.
Inspector - was the inspector he showed
the Rep defect to. Kennedy told him
it was not there. Proctor to say about
shop welds. weldy suspension of frame
for P.H. in general. Had this attitude.
Then concern seemed to be limited only to
concern with the code the company was
down.

P. 3 1st Para - Cold spring pipe - 4" line of
EBS tank - gives correct location of
pipe in question - Jim Chestnut, area
supervisor told the guy worker to
cold spring the pipe in question

P. 3 Para 4 - West Paving Bunkley Teflon
lines - ~~NRC Inspector called the~~
~~license for this area.~~ Day has no
personal knowledge of actual inspection every
the ~~inspection~~ temp. - The reference
to the inspection went up 100 NRC 25
he doesn't know who the inspector was
- he was told about it. Dan Evans told
him not to worry about it because all
of these welds were being accepted as is
because the inspector was irregular and
got carried away. did not actually see
through cracks

P. 4. West Paving - NRC had written
up to him for improper storage.
Stanley Statuaker had earlier stated
connections. Photographs were taken
he thought it was very harmful
to him. Tell the NRC inspector of those
conditions. doesn't know the NRC
inspector doesn't seem to be inspecting.
NRC inspector doesn't know. he's not
sure he agrees with the inspector

Can I name other specific individuals
who would be witnesses to intimidate
Jim Butler should have been aware of
problems.

Can I identify any witnesses regarding any
alleged/unlimited harassment etc and
is not aware of other school-falsification.

Interview concluded
at 10:30 AM

8:00 AM

11/6/84

DOUGLAS BARKER, P-H 96. inspection on
site 3 yrs. - never had any trouble
as alleged by Doc. He did have
some inspection work on the
Diametric society members. This
was in recent history. There
was a problem with the conservation
meat & by club & valley there
was a problem until they were
well on the way. asked for
X-rays on the wilds. But
couldn't get it done. He was
told what he could & see he
couldn't reject. after that he
he made them keep an eye
and for his inspection. There
was a problem with the
conservation not long
conservation the insects. He
was told that because the th.
area was not so far related
that would not be re-inspected.
He would remain about the
potential means to force?

DOUG. BLAKE

Am to Cheng inspection. ~~later~~
fender. Super who told

Am not to go east was kept
use - paper was in waste

Kiersey Rd. ~~between~~ in the
tunnel between P2 B and Unit 2
small pp. 3-6 inch. Classper
is B 31.1 - non raft related

No one pressing in km. more
to see shift was at Anquet

100 suspect welds. Hammer
correct. not aware of fatigue
a margin immediately

interview concluded 8.20am

Alreadine! Applicant anxious to
talk to crew on some mechanical
problems