



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SALP II  
~~scribble~~

SEP 4 1981

Docket Nos.: STN 50-546  
and STN 50-547

MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director, Division of Licensing, NRR  
THRU: Robert L. Tedesco, Assistant Director for Licensing, DL  
B. J. Youngblood, Chief, Licensing Branch No. 1, DL  
FROM: K. L. Kiper, Project Manager, Licensing Branch No. 1, DL  
SUBJECT: SALP - CYCLE 2 FOR [REDACTED]

Enclosed is the SALP information from NRR for Marble Hill, Units 1  
and 2.

Kenneth L. Kiper, Project Manager  
Licensing Branch No. 1  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated

8109480191 XA  
5pp

FOIA-84-293  
K/82

Utility: Public Service of Indiana

Facility: Marble Hill, Units 1 and 2

Appraisal Period: July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981

Project Manager: Kenneth L. Kiper

1. Performance Elements

a. Quality of Response and Submittals

Insufficient information to make a judgement.

b. Efforts Required to Obtain an Acceptable Response or Submittal

Insufficient information.

c. Working Knowledge of Regulations, Guides, Standards and Generic Issues

Average.

d. Technical Competence

Average.

e. Conduct of Meetings with NRR

Not Applicable.

f. Long Standing Open Items

None.

g. Organizational and Management Capabilities

Insufficient information.

h. Results of Operator Licensing Examinations Conducted During the Appraisal Period

Not Applicable.

i. Performance on Specific Issues

We have been working with the applicant on the mitigation of an archeological find. The applicant was knowledgeable as how to proceed with the various steps to accomplish this and submitted adequate documentation.

2. Observed Trends in Performance

Insufficient data

3. Notable Strengths and Weaknesses

Insufficient data to make a judgement.

4. Overall Summary

Since Marble Hill is in the post-CP stage, the contact with WRR is minimal. The applicant has been cooperative and responsive when observed.

Kenneth L. Kiper, Project Manager  
Licensing Branch No. 1  
Division of Licensing

# SALP-1

Details on "Below Average" Facilities  
in NUREG 0834

Prepared for EDO & Commission  
Briefing in Sept. 81

Based on inputs from Regions

## I. Operating Facilities

1. Arkansas 1&2
2. Beaver Valley 1
3. Browns Ferry 1, 2&3
4. Brunswick 1&2
5. Crystal River 3
6. Davis Besse
7. Fitzpatrick
8. Indian Point 2
9. Nine Mile Point 1
10. Oyster Creek
11. Palisades
12. Pilgrim
13. Rancho Seco
14. Salem 1&2
15. Surry 1&2

## II. Facilities Under Construction

1. Catawba
- ② Marble Hill 1&2
3. Midland 1&2
4. South Texas 1&2
5. WNP-2
6. Watts Bar 1&2
7. Zimmer

FOIA-84-293

K/83

9/29/81

I GENERAL

During the SALP-1 appraisal period the licensee has developed and implemented a formal program specifically designed to improve the regulatory performance. These included staffing and organizational changes, quality assurance program development, and the identification and resolution of problems. Incremental resumption of safety-related construction, subject to the approval of the NRC, commenced subsequent to the evaluation period as described below.

The Director of IE authorized the following activities:

PSIs resumption of receipt inspection.	07-07-80
PSIs contractors, Cherne Contracting Corp. (CCC) and Commonwealth-Lord J. V. (CLJV) to resume receipt inspection.	11-13-80
CCC and CLJV to resume mechanical, piping and electrical work.	12-05-80
Resumption of all safety-related work including concrete placement.	03-27-81

The Order remains in place.

II SPECIFIC

- A. CONTENTION: "The licensee's activities prior to and during the early part of the evaluation period displayed evidence of Project Engineering, Quality Assurance, and Construction Management weaknesses."

1. Basis

During inspections conducted in April 3-6 and April 30-May 3, 1979, problems were identified relative to the placement and repair of concrete. A Management meeting with PSI was held on May 15, 1979, to discuss these findings. On June 12, 1979, the NRC received information that a former worker of the Marble Hill site had alleged improper repairs of honeycomb areas in concrete. The allegations were contained in a sworn statements submitted to the office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations (NRR) through an attorney representing an Indiana intervenor group. Subsequently, Region III received a copy and initiated an investigation on June 22, 1979.

IE Inspection Reports  
No. 50-546 and  
547/79-03, 79-04,  
79-05, 79-08