

SEP 4 1981

Docket Nos.: 50-424
and 50-425

MEMORANDUM FOR: D. G. Eisenhut, Director, Division of Licensing, NRR

THRU: R. L. Tedesco, Assistant Director for Licensing, DL
B. J. Youngblood, Chief, Licensing Branch No. 1, DL

FROM: J. M. Grant, Project Manager, Licensing Branch No. 1, DL

SUBJECT: SALP - CYCLE 2 -- ALVIN W. ~~VOGTLER~~ UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

In accordance with your memo of June 4, 1981, we have enclosed the
SALP information requested for Alvin W. Vogtle, Unit Nos. 1 and 2.

J M Grant
J. M. Grant, Project Manager
Licensing Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

8109480170 XA

3pp

TILITY: Georgia Power Company
FACILITY: Alvin W. Vogtle Nuclear Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2
APPRAISAL PERIOD: July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981
PROJECT MANAGER: Jane M. Grant

1. Performance Elements

a. Quality of Response and Submittals

Vogtle Units 1 and 2 are in the post CP stage. The applicant has been requested by the staff to respond to and submit information on environmental and safety matters regarding approval of the fish impingement study for the circulating water system and a CP amendment to remove the Enclosure Building. The applicant has submitted sufficient information in a timely, efficient manner for the staff to review and evaluate.

b. Efforts Required to Obtain an Acceptable Response or Submittal

The applicant has been very receptive to the staff's need for complete, concise submittals required to expedite reviews. Whenever additional information has been required, the applicant has been available for both conference calls and/or meetings and has submitted requested information without delay.

c. Working Knowledge of Regulations, Guides, Standards and Generic Issues

Insufficient data to support a conclusion.

d. Technical Competence

Insufficient data to support a conclusion.

e. Conduct of Meetings with NRR

It appears the applicant recognizes the meeting process as an important method for resolving conflicts and exchanging information. The applicant recently met with the staff in Bethesda to discuss construction activity schedules, both past and planned. The applicant also requested guidance so that as complete an FSAR as possible can be submitted according to schedule. Although there has been no real need for more meetings with NRR, the applicant appears willing to meet with the staff should the need arise.

f. Long Standing Open Items

Not Applicable.

g. Organizational and Management Capabilities

Insufficient information to support a conclusion.

h. Results of Operator Licensing Examinations Conducted During the Appraisal Period

Not Applicable.

i. Performance on Specific Issues

See item (a) above.

2. Observed Trends in Performance

Insufficient data to support a conclusion.

3. Notable Strengths and Weaknesses

a. Strengths

Insufficient data.

b. Weaknesses

Insufficient data.

4. Overall Summary

The applicant has been very receptive to the staff's need for complete, concise submittals. The applicant also appears to recognize the meeting process (both conference calls and actual meetings) as an important, cooperative method for resolving conflicts and exchanging information. However, because the staff has still interfaced to only a small degree with the applicant regarding technical and safety matters, we are unable to appraise the applicant's performance more completely at this stage of Vogtle's licensing process.

J. M. Grant

J. M. Grant, Project Manager
Licensing Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing