



OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 17, 1996


COMMISSION VOTING RECORD

DECISION ITEM: SECY-96-206

TITLE: RULEMAKING PLAN FOR AMENDMENTS TO 10
CFR PART 55 TO CHANGE LICENSED
OPERATOR EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS

By a vote of 4-1 with Commissioner Diaz disagreeing, the Commission approved the subject paper as recorded in the Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) of December 17, 1996.

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Chairman and Commissioners, and the SRM of December 17, 1996.



John C. Hoyle
Secretary of the Commission

Attachments:

1. Voting Summary
2. Commissioner Vote Sheets
3. Final SRM

cc: OGC
EDO
PDR
DCS
Commissioners

DF02
o/i

VOTING SUMMARY - SECY-96-206

RECORDED VOTES

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIP	COMMENTS	DATE
CHRM. JACKSON	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10/18/96
COMR. ROGERS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10/9/96
COMR. DICUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10/31/96
COMR. DIAZ	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10/18/96
COMR. McGAFFIGAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10/29/96

COMMENT RESOLUTION

In their vote sheets, Chairman Jackson and Commissioners Rogers, Dicus, and McGaffigan approved the staff's recommendation and provided comments for guidance to the staff in this matter.

Commissioner Diaz disapproved the proposed rulemaking plan and implementation of Revision 8 of NUREG-1021 on a voluntary basis. He believed that in the absence of significant cost savings, only an improvement in the protection of the health and safety of the public or workers would justify such an action and that such an improvement has not been demonstrated.

Subsequently, the comments of the majority of the Commission were incorporated into the guidance to staff as reflected in the SRM issued on December 17, 1996.