

50-263  
50-282  
50-306



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 13, 1996

Mr. E. L. Watzl, Senior Vice President  
of Nuclear Operations  
Northern States Power Company  
414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55401

SUBJECT: DRAFT NUREG-1560, "INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION PROGRAM:  
PERSPECTIVES ON REACTOR SAFETY AND PLANT PERFORMANCE, SUMMARY  
REPORT," - REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Dear Mr. Watzl:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a draft of "Individual Plant Examination Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance, Summary Report," NUREG-1560. Draft NUREG-1560 is comprised of two volumes. Volume 1 (Part 1) provides an overall summary of the key perspectives. Volume 2 (Parts 2 through 5) provides a more in-depth discussion of the perspectives summarized in Part 1. This report summarizes the insights and findings from our review of the Individual Plant Examinations (IPEs) submitted to the NRC in response to Generic Letter 88-20. A copy of this draft NUREG was recently mailed to your organization for review and comment. The distribution to your organization included: the Plant Managers of the nuclear plants for which you are responsible, the licensee-identified contacts at those plants, and a nuclear executive. Notification of the availability of the NUREG for public comment was also published in the Federal Register on November 14, 1996 (61 FR 58249).

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your personal attention the availability of this report. We would appreciate any comments you may have as discussed below; however, any response to this letter is voluntary. If you require an additional copy of the report, you may request one by writing to Distribution Services, Printing, Graphics, and Mail Services Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Draft NUREG-1560 is based entirely on docketed information submitted to the NRC in conjunction with the IPE program. NUREG-1560 is published as a draft for comment, partly because the staff recognizes that most licensees have updated their IPEs and have made hardware and procedural/operational modifications that have not been reflected in the docketed information. As part of any comments on Part 1, updated information regarding your IPE(s) would be appreciated.

Chapter 8 of Volume 1 of NUREG-1560 discusses the potential need for followup regulatory activities by the NRC based on IPE insights. Comments on those activities would also be helpful.

180030

9612180354 961213  
PDR ADOCK 05000263  
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.


The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,



Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos.: 50-282/50-306/50-263

cc: See next page

December 13, 1996

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

Orig. signed by A. Thadani for  
Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos.: 50-282/50-306/50-263  
cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: ~~Docket File~~ PUBLIC E.Adensam (EGA1) OGC ACRS L.Miller, RIII M.Drouin  
DOCUMENT NAME: G:\WPDOCS\PRAIRIE\PIMONPE.LTR \*See previous concurrence PD3-1 Reading

OFFICE	*PD113/PM	C	PM/PD31	C	LA/PD31	C	*RES/PRAB	C	PD/PD31	C	D/DRR	*ADPR	NRR/D
NAME	RHernan		TJKim/BWetzel		CJamerson		MCunningham		JHannon		JRoe	RZimmerman	FMiraglia
DATE	11/12/96		12/6/96		12/6/96		11/12/96		12/7/96		12/7/96	11/22/96	12/13/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Northern States Power Company

cc:

Mr. Roger O. Anderson, Director  
Licensing and Management Issues  
Northern States Power Company  
414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

J. E. Silberg, Esquire  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, N. W.  
Washington DC 20037

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspector's Office  
2807 W. County Road 75  
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Plant Manager  
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant  
ATTN: Site Licensing  
Northern States Power Company  
2807 West County Road 75  
Monticello, Minnesota 55362-9637

Robert Nelson, President  
Minnesota Environmental Control  
Citizens Association (MECCA)  
1051 South McKnight Road  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55119

Commissioner  
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency  
520 Lafayette Road  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55119

Regional Administrator, Region III  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Commissioner of Health  
Minnesota Department of Health  
717 Delaware Street, S. E.  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440

Darla Groshens, Auditor/Treasurer  
Wright County Government Center  
10 NW Second Street  
Buffalo, Minnesota 55313

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant  
and Prairie Island Nuclear  
Generating Plant

Kris Sanda, Commissioner  
Department of Public Service  
121 Seventh Place East  
Suite 200  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2145

Adonis A. Neblett  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
455 Minnesota Street  
Suite 900  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2127

Plant Manager  
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating  
Plant  
Northern States Power Company  
1717 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, Minnesota 55089

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspector's Office  
1719 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, Minnesota 55089-9642

Mr. Jeff Cole, Auditor/Treasurer  
Goodhue County Courthouse  
Box 408  
Red Wing, Minnesota 55066-0408

Site Licensing  
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating  
Plant  
Northern States Power Company  
1717 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, Minnesota 55089

Tribal Council  
Prairie Island Indian Community  
ATTN: Environmental Department  
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road  
Welch, Minnesota 55089