



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 13, 1996

Mr. Lew W. Myers
 Vice President - Nuclear, Perry
 Centerior Service Company
 P.O. Box 97, A200
 Perry, OH 44081

SUBJECT: DRAFT NUREG-1560, "INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION PROGRAM:
 PERSPECTIVES ON REACTOR SAFETY AND PLANT PERFORMANCE, SUMMARY
 REPORT," - REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Dear Mr. Myers:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a draft of "Individual Plant Examination Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance, Summary Report," NUREG-1560. Draft NUREG-1560 is comprised of two volumes. Volume 1 (Part 1) provides an overall summary of the key perspectives. Volume 2 (Parts 2 through 5) provides a more in-depth discussion of the perspectives summarized in Part 1. This report summarizes the insights and findings from our review of the Individual Plant Examinations (IPEs) submitted to the NRC in response to Generic Letter 88-20. A copy of this draft NUREG was recently mailed to your organization for review and comment. The distribution to your organization included: the Plant Manager of the nuclear plant for which you are responsible, the licensee-identified contacts at this plant, and a nuclear executive. Notification of the availability of the NUREG for public comment was also published in the Federal Register on November 14, 1996 (61 FR 58249).

The purpose of this letter is to bring to your personal attention the availability of this report. We would appreciate any comments you may have as discussed below; however, any response to this letter is voluntary. If you require an additional copy of the report, you may request one by writing to Distribution Series, Printing and Mail Services Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Draft NUREG-1560 is based entirely on docketed information submitted to the NRC in conjunction with the IPE program. NUREG-1560 is published as a draft for comment, partly because the staff recognizes that most licensees have updated their IPEs and have made hardware and procedural/operational modifications that have not been reflected in the docketed information. As part of any comments on Part 1, updated information regarding your IPE(s) would be appreciated.

Chapter 8 of Volume 1 of NUREG-1560 discusses the potential need for followup regulatory activities by the NRC based on IPE insights. Comments on those activities would also be helpful.

In addition, comparisons among plants are provided in Volume 1. Although only a few licensees identified vulnerabilities and no common vulnerabilities were

180021

9612180322 961213
 PDR ADDOCK 05000440
 P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No: 50-440

cc: See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PERRY\IPENUREG.LTR

*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	PD113/PM*	C	PM:PD33	C	LA:PD33	C	RES/PRAB/C*	D:PD33	DD	ADPR*	ARR/D
NAME	RHernan		JHopkins		DFoster-Curran		MCunningham	GMarcus	EAdensam	RZimmerman	FMiraglia
DATE	11/12/96		12/6/96		12/10/96		11/12/96	12/10/96	12/11/96	11/22/96	12/12/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

L. Myers
Centerior Service Company

cc:

Jay E. Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20037

Ms. Mary E. O'Reilly
Centerior Energy Corporation
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, Ohio 43652

Resident Inspector's Office
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Parmly at Center Road
Perry, Ohio 44081

Regional Administrator, Region III
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4531

Lake County Prosecutor
Lake County Administration Bldg.
105 Main Street
Painesville, Ohio 44077

Ms. Sue Hiatt
OCRE Interim Representative
8275 Munson
Mentor, Ohio 44060

Terry J. Lodge, Esq.
618 N. Michigan Street, Suite 105
Toledo, Ohio 43624

Ashtabula County Prosecutor
25 West Jefferson Street
Jefferson, Ohio 44047

Mr. James D. Kloosterman
Regulatory Affairs Manager
Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.
Perry Nuclear Power Plant
P. O. Box 97, A210
Perry, Ohio 44081

Mr. James R. Williams
Chief of Staff
Ohio Emergency Management Agency
2855 West Dublin Granville Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-2206

Perry Nuclear Power Plant
Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Mr. James W. Harris, Director
Division of Power Generation
Ohio Dept. of Industrial Relations
P.O. Box 825
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Mayor, Village of Perry
4203 Harper Street
Perry, Ohio 44081

Mayor, Village of North Perry
North Perry Village Hall
4778 Lockwood Road
North Perry Village, Ohio 44081

Attorney General
Department of Attorney General
30 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43216

Radiological Health Program
Ohio Department of Health
P.O. Box 118
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0118

Ohio Environmental Protection
Agency
DERR--Compliance Unit
ATTN: Mr. Zack A. Clayton
P.O. Box 1049
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0149

Chairman
Perry Township Board of Trustees
3750 Center Rd., Box 65
Perry, Ohio 44081

State of Ohio
Public Utilities Commission
East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

Mr. Richard D. Brandt, Plant Manager
Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.
Perry Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 97, SB306
Perry, Ohio 44081

identified, the NRC review identified several vulnerabilities as perhaps being applicable to many BWRs or PWRs. Your insights about your plant or plants in light of these global perspectives are also welcome.

The staff, in its review of the IPEs, pursued five major objectives in documenting perspectives from the reviews:

- (1) The impact of the IPE program on reactor safety, including the number and type of vulnerabilities or other safety issues that have been identified and the safety enhancements that have been implemented.
- (2) Plant-specific features and assumptions that play a significant role in the estimation of core damage frequency (CDF) and the analysis of containment performance.
- (3) The importance of the operator's role in CDF estimation and containment performance analysis.
- (4) The potential role of the IPEs in risk-informed regulation, given the limited scope of the staff's review.
- (5) General perspectives: (a) the implication of the IPE results relative to the current risk level of U.S. plants compared with the Commission's Safety Goals, (b) the improvements that have been identified as a result of implementation of the Station Blackout Rule, and (c) comparison of the IPE results with NUREG-1150.

Response to this letter is voluntary. Please mail any comments on Draft NUREG-1560 (Volumes 1 and 2) by February 14, 1997, to Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Mail Stop T-10-E50, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. For further information, contact Mr. Edward Chow, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research on (301) 415-6571.

Prior to finalizing NUREG-1560, a 3-day workshop will be held April 7-9, 1997, in Austin, Texas, to address comments and answer questions. Information on the workshop location, agenda, registration, etc., will be published in the Federal Register in mid-December 1996 with notification of availability of Volume 2, Parts 2 through 5, of Draft NUREG-1560. Workshop attendance information should be directed to Martha Lucero, Sandia National Laboratories, Phone (505) 845-9787, fax (505) 844-1392, e-mail mlucero@sandia.gov.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Frank J. Miraglia, Acting Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No: 50-440

cc: See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PERRY\IPENUREG.LTR

*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	PD113/PM*	C	PM:PD33	C	LA:PD33	C	RES/PRAB/C*	D:PD33	DD:PRV	ADPR*	ARR/D
NAME	RHernan		JHopkins		DFoster-Curran		MCunningham	GMarcus	EAdensam	RZimmerman	FMiraglia
DATE	11/12/96		12/6/96		12/10/96		11/12/96	12/10/96	12/11/96	11/22/96	12/12/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution for ltr. to plant CNO re: IPE Draft NUREG-1560 dated 12/13/96
for PERRY

Docket File

PUBLIC

PDIII-3 Reading

J. Roe

E. Adensam (E)

G. Marcus

M. Drouin

OGC

ACRS

J. Caldwell, RIII