

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, U.S.
WASHINGTON, D.C.

March 18....., 19 81

Respectfully referred to

Mr. John F. Ahearne, Chairman
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Ahearne:

I have received the attached letter from Mr. Roger Gose, P.E. Stillwater City Engineer, regarding notices from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on overdue personnel monitoring reports for 1978 and 1979.

If you would check into this matter and provide me with information concerning the request, I would appreciate it.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. Please direct your response to my Stillwater District Office: USDA Agriculture Center Bldg., Stillwater, OK 74074

Very respectfully,

Glenn English.....

M.C., 6th OK District.

8105080367

FROM: Rep. Glenn English		ACTION CONTROL		DATES		CONTROL NO. 10310	
		COMPL DEADLINE		4/10/81		DATE OF DOCUMENT	
		ACKNOWLEDGMENT				3/18/81	
		INTERIM REPLY				PREPARED FOR SIGNATURE OF	
TO: Ahearne		FINAL REPLY				<input type="checkbox"/> CHJ / RMAN	
		FILE LOCATION				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
						OTHER:	
DESCRIPTION <input type="checkbox"/> LETTER <input type="checkbox"/> MEMO <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS			
Encl ltr fm Roger W. Gose re overdue personnel monitoring reports							
CLASSIFIED DATA							
DOCUMENT/COPY NO.		CLASSIFICATION					
NUMBER OF PAGES		CATEGORY					
POSTAL REGISTRY NO.		<input type="checkbox"/> NSI <input type="checkbox"/> RD <input type="checkbox"/> FRD		SECY-81-70 0406			
ASSIGNED TO		DATE		INFORMATION ROUTING		LEGAL REVIEW <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> COPY	
Stello, IE		3/26/81		Haller Underwood, MPA		NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY:	
						<input type="checkbox"/> EDO ADMIN & CORRESPONDENCE EXT.	
						COMMENTS, NOTIFY:	
						EXT.	
JCAE NOTIFICATION RECOMMENDED				<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			

NRC FORM 232
(11-79)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DO NOT REMOVE THIS COPY

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

81-0406
 No. ~~80-XXXXXX~~ ~~8107~~ Logging Date 3/24/81
 NRC SECRETARIAT
 TO: ☐ Commissioner _____ Date _____
 XXX ☒ Exec. Dir./Oper. _____ ☐ Gen. Counsel _____
 ☐ Cong. Liaison _____ ☐ Solicitor _____
 ☐ Public Affairs _____ ☐ Secretary _____
 ☐ _____ ☐ Inspector & Auditor _____
 ☐ _____ ☐ Policy Evaluation _____

Incoming _____
 From: Rep Glenn English, Const Ref
 To: Chm Ahearne Date 3/18/81
 Subject: overdue monitoring reports

- ☐ Prepare reply for signature of:
- ☐ Chairman
 - ☐ Commissioner _____
 - ☐ EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE
 - ☐ Signature block omitted
 - ☐ _____
 - ☐ Return original of incoming with response

- X ☒ For direct reply* Suspense: April 7
☐ For appropriate action
☐ For information

Remarks: OCA to Ack


 For the Commission: *billie*

*Send three (3) copies of reply to Secy Correspondence and Records Branch

NRC-62

Rec'd Off. EDO
 Date. 3-26-81
 Time. 11:30

ACTION SLIP



MAR 10 REC'D

March 2, 1981

The Honorable Glenn English
House of Representatives
Oklahoma State Capitol
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Dear Mr. English:

The City of Stillwater, Oklahoma in 1979 began application process to obtain a license from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in order to maintain and use a nuclear density machine in order to improve subgrades we were getting under our paving.


City Staff was trained in the use of this machine and in the hazards of nuclear radiation. We were all certified to operate the equipment and made application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in December of 1979 to become licensed in order for us to purchase a machine.

The City of Stillwater was finally licensed on February 28, 1980, so that we could obtain and use a density machine. Since that time, we have been filing our reports with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Recently we have been snowed with letters from Mr. Stello concerning overdue personnel monitoring reports for the years 1978 and 1979. These letters have been sent out on form letter format with no specific addressee. Our reaction has been to file these in the waste basket because we were not even licensed in 1978 and 1979. They continued to send us these reports even threatening to take away our license if we did not respond. Therefore, after many man hours of time wasted on Nuclear Regulatory Commission paperwork, we have finally checked personnel monitoring not required in the years requested and mailed the paper work back.

I have attached the letter that we received from the Federal Government as well as the paperwork that we finally returned to them.

I would request your review of this and consideration on this type of burdening paperwork required by some of the agencies over which you have supervision. I do appreciate your time and interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Roger W. Gose", written in a cursive style.

Roger W. Gose, P.E.
City Engineer

RWG/pm

Enc: Letter
Report forms 1978-1979



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 22, 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: NRC Licensees

FROM: Victor Stello, Jr., Director
Office of Inspection & Enforcement

SUBJECT: OVERDUE PERSONNEL MONITORING REPORTS

Amendments to §20.407, 10 CFR Part 20, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 29, 1978 (43 FR 44827) required all NRC specific licensees to report, in statistical summary form, the results of personnel monitoring for each of the years 1978 and 1979. The reports were due March 31 in 1979 and 1980, respectively. Copies of the amendments were sent to all NRC licensees by letter dated October 10, 1978 (copy enclosed). Subsequent reminder letters were sent on January 29, 1979 and November 30, 1979.

As of this date we have not received a report of your monitoring results for 1979 that can be identified with the NRC license number(s) that appear(s) in the upper corner of the address label on this letter.

We recognize that there are several circumstances which could result in our failure to identify the referenced license number(s) with a report that you might have submitted. Some of these circumstances are shown on the enclosed "Potential Reasons for Failure to Identify Submitted Reports." If you believe this to be your case, you should advise us of the circumstances involved with enough additional information to clearly identify the license number(s) and to complete the report.

You may use the enclosed suggested format to file your report(s). Note that, if you were not required to provide personnel monitoring equipment to assess any individual's radiation dose during 1979, you only need to check the box on the suggested format and return the format to the Director of Management and Program Analysis, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Questions may be directed to the Licensee Operations Evaluations Branch, Office of Management and Program Analysis, 301-492-4978.

Your immediate attention to this matter is expected. Failure to file the report(s) constitutes non-compliance with the regulations in 10 CFR Part 20.

Victor Stello, Jr.
Victor Stello, Jr., Director
Office of Inspection & Enforcement

Enclosures: 1. "Potential Reasons..."
2. Notice Dated 10-10-78
3. Suggested Format