

# GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY PROJECT

Institute for Policy Studies  
1901 Que Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009

cc *Beythog*  
U.S. NRC (202) 234-9382

October 6, 1983 OCT 11 AM 11:13

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS  
HEADQUARTERS

Chairman Nunzio Palladino  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Chairman Palladino:

On September 14, 1983 the Government Accountability Project filed a request pursuant to 10 C.F.R. 2.206 regarding a quality assurance breakdown at the Catawba Nuclear Power Station being built by Duke Power Company in South Carolina.

Among the issues of concern raised in our letter was the problem of nuclear workers on the Catawba site who have been subjected to specific and general harassment and intimidation for attempting to do their jobs -- whether related to quality control/quality assurance or a regular craft. I summarized in an affidavit the type of information that we were receiving from past and present nuclear workers (attachment 26 to the petition).

We expect that the ruling on the 2.206 petition will take some time, although we hope that the delay is not lengthy. However, we have a dilemma which requires your immediate attention and request that you address this issue separately.

In the course of our investigation we have talked to a number of witnesses who wish to make their concerns known to the NRC. As you know, GAP prepares affidavits from workers in these situations and submits them to the NRC regional office for inspection and investigation. That normal course of action is not available in this case for the following reasons:

(1) There is an ongoing Office of Inspector and Auditor (OIA) investigation into the impropriety and possible violation of NRC rules and regulations by the on-site inspector, the person who would normally conduct the inspection in conjunction with other regional inspectors, if necessary. This investigation results from serious misconduct, including disclosure of identity and release of draft reports, which is not yet explained.

(2) The workers and former workers have no trust in the NRC officials known to them to conduct an adequate or impartial investigation. Justified or not, the common feeling is that Duke controls the NRC and that their claims would not be adequately investigated to the embarrassment of Duke Power Company.

83 LL484477

XA

October 6, 1983


(3) Workers deeply fear the corporate and peer harassment which would occur if their identity as a source of information was ever discovered or disclosed within the community.

The workers have agreed to provide information to NRC officials which GAP officials will personally vouch for. Obviously, the sphere of those NRC inspectors is small, and in Region II it is non-existent.

We therefore respectfully request that you appoint either an Office of Investigation (OI) investigator to conduct or supervise the investigation of these allegations, or schedule a meeting between EDO and GAP to discuss the methodology by which the investigation into the Catawba plant can be conducted in a manner by which we can assure our witnesses that they will receive both protection and anonymity and an adequate inspection into their concerns.

We look forward to your response in the near future.

Very truly yours,



Billie Pirner Garde  
Director, Citizens' Clinic

BPG/ea